A new proof of Gronwall inequality with Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivatives
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Abstract: In this study, we establish a new version of Gronwall type integral inequality, which generalizes some previous ones.
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1 Introduction

Fractional differential equations are generalizations of classical differential equations of integer order. It has been shown that these types of equations have numerous applications in diverse fields and thus have evolved into multidisciplinary subjects. For more details on fractional calculus, we refer the reader to the remarkable books [1,2,3]. Many authors discussed theoretical and application aspects of differential(or difference) equations within fractional integrals and derivatives [4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13].

One of the most important inequalities in the theory of differential equations is known as the Gronwall inequality. It was published in 1919 in the work by Gronwall [14]. Since then many generalizations and extensions of this inequality has become part of the literature [15].

It is our aim in this study to contribute to the development of this theory, presenting a proof for Gronwall inequality. We have presented some background materials as follows:

Riemann-Liouville fractional integral, \( \alpha > 0 \),

\[
(aI^\alpha x)(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} x(s) \, ds
\]

For \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \) starting from \( a \),

\[
(aD^\alpha x)(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} x(s) \, ds
\]
For \(0 < \alpha < 1\) Caputo fractional derivative of order \(\alpha\) starting from \(a\),

\[
(CD^\alpha x)(t) := ({}^cI^{1-\alpha}x')(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{-\alpha}x'(s)\,ds
\]  
(3)

**Definition 1.** \([16]\) Let \(x \in H^1(a,b), a < b\) and \(\alpha \in [0,1]\). The Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative of the function \(x\) order \(\alpha\) in the sense of Caputo is defined by

\[
(ABC D^\alpha x)(t) := \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \int_a^t x'(s)E_\alpha \left[-\alpha (t-s)^\alpha \right] s,
\]  
(4)

where \(E_\alpha(z) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha^n}{\Gamma(n+1)}\) is the Mittag-Leffler function and \(B(\alpha)\) is a normalizing positive function satisfying \(B(0) = B(1) = 1\). Similarly, the Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative of the function \(x\) order \(\alpha\) in the sense of Riemann-Liouville is defined by

\[
(ABR D^\alpha x)(t) := \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \int_a^t x(s)E_\alpha \left[-\alpha (t-s)^\alpha \right] s.
\]  
(5)

The associated Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral of the function \(x\) is defined by

\[
(AB I^\alpha x)(t) := \frac{1-\alpha}{B(\alpha)} x(t) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} ({}^cI^\alpha x)(t),
\]  
(6)

where \(({}^cI^\alpha x)(t)\) is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral given with (1).

**Lemma 1.** \([17]\) Let \(\alpha > 0\), \(u(t)\) is nonnegative, nondecreasing and locally integrable on the interval \(a < t < b < \infty\), \(v(t) \leq M\) and \(x(t)\) is nonnegative and locally integrable on the interval \([a,b]\) with

\[
x(t) \leq u(t) + v(t) ({}^cI^\alpha x)(t)
\]  
(7)

for all \(t \in [a,b]\). Then the inequality

\[
x(t) \leq u(t)E_\alpha [v(t)(t-a)^\alpha]
\]  
(8)

holds for all \(t \in [a,b]\).

2 Main results

**Theorem 1.** Suppose \(\alpha > 0\), \(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0\) and \(x(t)\) is a nonnegative locally integrable function satisfying

\[
x(t) \leq \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 (AB I^\alpha x)(t)
\]  
(9)

on \([a,b]\). Then the following inequality holds for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\)

\[
x(t) \leq \varepsilon_1 \sum_{k=0}^n [A(\alpha)\varepsilon_2]^k + [A(\alpha)\varepsilon_2]^{n+1} x(t) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[A^k(\alpha)\varepsilon_2^{k+1}\right] ({}^cI^\alpha x)(t),
\]  
(10)

where \(A(\alpha) := (1-\alpha)/B(\alpha)\).
Proof. We will prove by induction. By using (6) in the inequality (9), we obtain
\[ x(t) \leq e_1 + e_2 \left( A^{(\alpha/a)} B^\alpha x \right) (t) \]
\[ = e_1 + e_2 \left[ A(\alpha) x(t) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} (a^\alpha x) (t) \right] \]
\[ = e_1 + A(\alpha) e_2 x(t) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} e_2 (a^\alpha x) (t), \]
that is, the inequality (10) holds for 1. Now for a \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), assume that the inequality (10) holds for \( n = N \), i.e.
\[ x(t) \leq e_1 \sum_{k=0}^{N} [A(\alpha) e_2]^k + [A(\alpha) e_2]^{N+1} x(t) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{N} [A^k(\alpha) e_2^{k+1}] (a^\alpha x) (t) \]
By using the relations (9) and (6) in this inequality, we obtain
\[ x(t) \leq e_1 \sum_{k=0}^{N} [A(\alpha) e_2]^k + [A(\alpha) e_2]^{N+1} x(t) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} e_2 \sum_{k=0}^{N} [A^k(\alpha) e_2^{k+1}] (a^\alpha x) (t) \]
\[ = e_1 \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left[ A(\alpha) e_2^k + [A(\alpha) e_2]^{N+1} \right] x(t) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{N} [A^k(\alpha) e_2^{k+1}] (a^\alpha x) (t) \]
that is, the inequality (10) holds for 1. Thus, the proof is complete.

By rearranging the inequality (10), we obtain the following useful result. Note that \( A(\alpha) e_2 > 0 \) for \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \).

Corollary 1. Suppose \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), \( e_1, e_2 > 0 \) and \( x(t) \) is a nonnegative locally integrable function satisfying (9) on \( [a, b] \). If there exists a \( n_1 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( A^{n_1+1}(\alpha) e_2^{n_1+1} < 1 \), then the function \( x(t) \) satisfies
\[ x(t) \leq K + L (a^\alpha x) (t) \]
for all \( t \in [a, b] \), where
\[ K := e_1 \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} [A(\alpha) e_2]^k \frac{1}{1 - [A(\alpha) e_2]^{n_1+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad L := \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} [A^k(\alpha) e_2^{k+1}] \frac{1}{1 - [A(\alpha) e_2]^{n_1+1}}. \]

Now, by using Lemma 1 in Corollary 1, we obtain following Grönwall type inequality for Atangana-Baleanu fractional integrals.

Corollary 2. Suppose \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), \( e_1, e_2 > 0 \) and \( x(t) \) is a nonnegative locally integrable function satisfying (9) on \( [a, b] \). If there exists a \( n_1 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( A^{n_1+1}(\alpha) e_2^{n_1+1} < 1 \), then the inequality
\[ x(t) \leq KE_\alpha [L(t-a)^\alpha] \]
holds for all \( t \in [a, b] \).
By letting \( n \to \infty \) in (10) and applying Lemma 1, one can obtain following special case of Gronwall inequality stated in Corollary 2. Note that if \( \varepsilon_2 < A^{-1}(\alpha) \), then we have

\[
\varepsilon_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [A(\alpha)\varepsilon_2]^k = \frac{\varepsilon_1 B(\alpha)}{B(\alpha) - (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} [A(\alpha)\varepsilon_2]^{n+1} x(t) = 0.
\]

**Corollary 3.** Suppose \( \alpha \in [0,1] \), \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0 \) and \( x(t) \) is a nonnegative locally integrable function satisfying (9) on \([a,b]\). If \( \varepsilon_2 < A^{-1}(\alpha) \), then the function \( x(t) \) satisfies

\[
x(t) \leq K^* E_a [L^*(t-a)^\alpha]
\]

for all \( t \in [a,b] \), where

\[
K^* := \frac{\varepsilon_1 B(\alpha)}{B(\alpha) - (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_2} \quad \text{and} \quad L^* := \frac{\alpha\varepsilon_2}{B(\alpha) - (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_2}.
\]

It is easy to see that the result of Theorem 1 is valid for variable \( \varepsilon_1(t) \) and \( \varepsilon_2(t) \) provided that \( \varepsilon_1(t), \varepsilon_2(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \in [a,b] \). Therefore, we have the following results analogue to Corollary 2 and Corollary 3 successively.

**Corollary 4.** Suppose \( \alpha \in [0,1] \), \( \varepsilon_1(t), \varepsilon_2(t) > 0 \) and \( x(t) \) is a nonnegative locally integrable function satisfying

\[
x(t) \leq \varepsilon_1(t) + \varepsilon_2(t) \left( A^B \right)^{x(t)}(t)
\]

on \([a,b]\). If there exists a \( n_1 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( A^{n_1+1}(\alpha)\varepsilon_2^{n_1+1}(t) < 1 \), then the inequality

\[
x(t) \leq K(t) E_a [L(t)(t-a)^\alpha]
\]

holds for all \( t \in [a,b] \), where

\[
K(t) := \varepsilon_1(t) \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} [A(\alpha)\varepsilon_2(t)]^k = \frac{\alpha \varepsilon_2}{B(\alpha) - (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_2(t)^{n_1+1}}.
\]

**Corollary 5.** Suppose \( \alpha \in [0,1] \), \( \varepsilon_1(t), \varepsilon_2(t) > 0 \) and \( x(t) \) is a nonnegative locally integrable function satisfying (9) on \([a,b]\). If \( \varepsilon_2(t) < A^{-1}(\alpha) \) for all \( t \in [a,b] \), then the function \( x(t) \) satisfies

\[
x(t) \leq K^*(t) E_a [L^*(t)(t-a)^\alpha]
\]

for all \( t \in [a,b] \), where

\[
K^*(t) := \frac{\varepsilon_1(t) B(\alpha)}{B(\alpha) - (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_2(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad L^*(t) := \frac{\alpha\varepsilon_2(t)}{B(\alpha) - (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon_2(t)}.
\]

**Remark.** The results given in Corollary 3 and Corollary 5 are same with the result given in Theorem 2.1 of the paper [18] (see also Remark 2.1 of [18]), but the results given in Corollary 2 and Corollary 4 are more general than the results of [18].
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