

## Some properties of an operation on $g\alpha$ -open sets

Baravan A. Asaad<sup>1,2</sup> and Zanyar A. Ameen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science, College of Science, Cihan University-Duhok, Iraq

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zakho, Iraq

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Duhok, Iraq

Received: 21 December 2018, Accepted: 29 March 2019

Published online: 30 April 2019.

**Abstract:** The paper introduces an operation  $\gamma$  on the collection of  $g\alpha$ -open subsets of a topological space. Then  $\gamma$  is used to study the concepts of  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open and  $g\alpha\gamma$ -generalized closed sets. Moreover, the separation axioms called  $g\alpha\gamma-T_i$  for  $i = 0, 1/2, 1, 2$ , are given and their properties are obtained.

**Keywords:**  $\gamma$ -operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ ,  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open sets,  $g\alpha\gamma-T_i$  spaces ( $i = 0, 1/2, 1, 2$ ).

### 1 Introduction and preliminaries

In 1965, Njastad [17] introduced the concept of  $\alpha$ -open subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . In 1993, Maki, Devi and Balachandran [16] used  $\alpha$ -open sets to studied generalized  $\alpha$ -closed sets. Kasahara [14] introduced an  $\alpha$  operation on  $\tau$  and study  $\alpha$ -closed graphs of  $\alpha$ -continuous functions and  $\alpha$ -compact spaces. Later, Jankovic [13] used  $\alpha$  operation to introduced  $\alpha$ -closure of a set in  $X$  and gave some characterizations on  $\alpha$ -closed graph of functions. Then, Ogata [18] defined  $\gamma$ -open sets to study operation-functions and operation-separation. Lately, many types of  $\gamma$  operations on different classes of sets in  $X$  have been defined. Asaad et al. [10] introduced the notion of  $\gamma$ -extremally disconnected spaces. Asaad et al. [8] studied further characterizations of  $\gamma$ -extremally disconnected spaces and investigated some relations of functions of  $\gamma$ -extremally disconnected spaces. An et al. [6] introduced a  $\gamma$  operation on preopen subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . They, also, defined pre- $\gamma$ -open sets and built up their properties. Krishnan et al. [15] gave a  $\gamma$  operation on semi-open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ , and studied semi  $\gamma$ -open sets. After this, Carpintero et al. [12] considered a  $\gamma$  operation on  $b$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  to investigate  $b$ - $\gamma$ -open sets. Tahiliani [20] studied a  $\gamma$  operation on  $\beta$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau)$  to define  $\beta$ - $\gamma$ -open sets. Asaad [7] defined a  $\gamma$  operation on generalized open sets in  $X$  and studied its applications. In 2017-2018, Ahmad and Asaad ([1], [9]) introduced an operation  $\gamma$  on semi generalized open subsets of  $X$  and discussed some types of separation axioms, functions and closed spaces with respect to  $\gamma$ . Al-shami [4] investigated some separation axioms via supra topological spaces and he [2] introduced a concept of supra semi open sets. He [3] also studied somewhere dense sets on topological spaces and obtained interesting properties. El-Shafei et al. [11] defined a type of generalized supra open sets and studied some of its applications.

The goal of the present research is to define a  $\gamma$  operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  and then use it to analyze  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau)$ . Furthermore,  $g\alpha\gamma-T_i$  spaces where  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , are studied. Then, the collection of  $g\alpha\gamma$ -generalized closed sets is defined to investigate  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  spaces.

Throughout the study, a space  $(X, \tau)$  represents a non-empty topological space on which no any other topological property is supposed except otherwise mentioned. Let  $A \subset (X, \tau)$ ,  $Int(A)$  and  $Cl(A)$  refer to the interior and the closure

of  $A$ , respectively. A set  $A$  is called  $\alpha$ -open [17] if  $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A)))$ . The complement of  $\alpha$ -open is  $\alpha$ -closed [19]. We denote the collection of  $\alpha$ -open subsets of  $X$  by  $\tau_\alpha$ . The  $\alpha$ -closure of  $A$ , denoted by  $\alpha\text{Cl}(A)$ , is defined to be the intersection of all  $\alpha$ -closed supersets of  $A$  [19]. A set  $A$  is said to be generalized  $\alpha$ -closed (in short  $g\alpha$ -closed) [16] if  $\alpha\text{Cl}(A) \subseteq V$  for each  $V \in \tau_\alpha$  with  $A \subseteq V$ . Its complement is  $g\alpha$ -open. The collection  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  denotes  $g\alpha$ -open sets in  $X$ . It is well-known that each  $\alpha$ -closed set is  $g\alpha$ -closed, but not conversely.

## 2 $g\alpha\gamma$ -open sets

A mapping  $\gamma: \tau_{g\alpha} \rightarrow P(X)$  is an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  such that  $V \subseteq \gamma(V)$  for every  $V \in \tau_{g\alpha}$ . Provided that for all operation  $\gamma: \tau_{g\alpha} \rightarrow P(X)$  we have  $\gamma(X) = X$ .

**Definition 1.** A non-empty set  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists  $g\alpha$ -open  $V$  containing  $x$  such that  $\gamma(V) \subseteq A$ . The complement of a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set of  $X$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed. Suppose that the empty set  $\phi$  is also  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open for any operation  $\gamma: \tau_{g\alpha} \rightarrow P(X)$ . The class of all  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open subsets of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\tau_{g\alpha\gamma}$ .

**Theorem 1.** The union of any collection of  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open sets in a space  $X$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} \{A_\delta\}$ , where  $\{A_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$  be a class of  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open sets in  $X$ . Then  $x \in A_\delta$  for some  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Since  $A_\delta$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open in  $X$ , then there exists a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq \gamma(V) \subseteq A_\delta \subseteq \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} \{A_\delta\}$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} \{A_\delta\}$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open in  $X$ .

*Remark.* The intersection of any two  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is generally not  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open as shown by the following example.

**Example 1.** Consider the space  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\tau = P(X) = \tau_{g\alpha}$ . Let  $\gamma: \tau_{g\alpha} \rightarrow P(X)$  be an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  defined as follows. For every  $A \in \tau_{g\alpha}$

$$\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } A \neq \{2\} \\ \{2, 3\} & \text{if } A = \{2\} \end{cases}.$$

Then,  $\tau_{g\alpha\gamma} = P(X) \setminus \{2\}$ . Then  $\{1, 2\} \in \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}$  and  $\{2, 3\} \in \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}$ , but  $\{1, 2\} \cap \{2, 3\} = \{2\} \notin \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}$ .

*Remark.* Notice that  $g\alpha$ -open and  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open sets are not equal because, generally, the (even finite) union of  $g\alpha$ -open sets are not  $g\alpha$ -open. For instance, the singleton  $\{2\}$ , in Example 1, is  $g\alpha$ -open, but not  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open. Also, since every  $\alpha$ -open set is  $g\alpha$ -open, then  $\alpha$ -open and  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open are not equal.

**Definition 2.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  with an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  is said to be  $g\alpha\gamma$ -regular if for each  $x \in X$  and for each  $g\alpha$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$ , there exists a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $\gamma(U) \subseteq V$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $\gamma: \tau_{g\alpha} \rightarrow P(X)$  be an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $\tau_{g\alpha} \subseteq \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}$ .
- (2)  $X$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -regular.
- (3) For every  $x \in X$  and for every  $g\alpha$ -open set  $V$  of  $(X, \tau)$  containing  $x$ , there exists a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set  $U$  of  $(X, \tau)$  containing  $x$  such that  $U \subseteq V$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $x \in X$  and let  $V$  be a  $g\alpha$ -open set in  $X$  containing  $x$ . It follows from assumption that  $V$  is a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set. This implies that there exists a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $\gamma(U) \subseteq V$ . Therefore, the space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -regular.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $x \in X$  and let  $V$  be a  $g\alpha$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$  containing  $x$ . Then by (2), there is a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq \gamma(U) \subseteq V$ . Again, by using (2) for the set  $U$ , it is shown that  $U$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open. Hence  $U$  is a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set containing  $x$  such that  $U \subseteq V$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) By using the part (3) and Theorem 1, it is clear that every  $g\alpha$ -open set of  $X$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open in  $X$ . Hence,  $\tau_{g\alpha} \subseteq \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}$ .

**Definition 3.** An operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  is said to be  $g\alpha$ -regular if for each  $x \in X$  and for every pair of  $g\alpha$ -open sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that both containing  $x$ , there exists a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $\gamma(U) \subseteq \gamma(V_1) \cap \gamma(V_2)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let a mapping  $\gamma$  be  $g\alpha$ -regular operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . Then the following statements hold:

- (1) If the subsets  $A$  and  $B$  are  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \cap B$  is also  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .
- (2)  $\tau_{g\alpha\gamma}$  forms a topology on  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $x \in A \cap B$  for any  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open subsets  $A$  and  $B$  in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then there exist  $g\alpha$ -open sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $x \in V_1 \subseteq A$  and  $x \in V_2 \subseteq B$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a  $g\alpha$ -regular operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ , then there exists a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $\gamma(U) \subseteq \gamma(V_1) \cap \gamma(V_2) \subseteq A \cap B$ . Therefore,  $A \cap B$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

(2) Follows from the part (1) above and Theorem 1.

**Definition 4.** The point  $x \in X$  is in the  $g\alpha$ -closure $_{\gamma}$  of a set  $A$  if  $\gamma(V) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each  $g\alpha$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$ . The set of all  $g\alpha$ -closure $_{\gamma}$  points of  $A$  is called  $g\alpha$ -closure $_{\gamma}$  of  $A$  and is denoted by  $g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(A)$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $A$  be any subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and  $\gamma$  be an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . The  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closure of  $A$  is defined as the intersection of all  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed sets of  $X$  containing  $A$  and it is denoted by  $g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A)$ . That is,

$$g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A) = \bigcap \{E : A \subseteq E, X \setminus E \in \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}\}.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $A$  be any subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $\gamma$  be an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . Then  $x \in g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A)$  if and only if  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set  $V$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A)$  and let  $A \cap V = \emptyset$  for some  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set  $V$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ . Then  $A \subseteq X \setminus V$  and  $X \setminus V$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed in  $X$ . So  $g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A) \subseteq X \setminus V$ . Thus,  $x \in X \setminus V$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set  $V$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $x \notin g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A)$ . So there exists a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set  $E$  such that  $A \subseteq E$  and  $x \notin E$ . Then  $X \setminus E$  is a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set such that  $x \in X \setminus E$  and  $A \cap (X \setminus E) = \emptyset$ . Contradiction of hypothesis. Therefore,  $x \in g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.** The following statements are true for any subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ .

- (1)  $g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed in  $X$  and  $g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(A)$  is  $g\alpha$ -closed in  $X$ .
- (2)  $A \subseteq g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(A) \subseteq g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A)$ .
- (3)  $g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(\emptyset) = g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(X) = g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(X) = X$ .
- (4) (a)  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed if and only if  $g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A) = A$  and,  
 (b)  $A$  is  $g\alpha$ -closed if and only if  $g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(A) = A$ .
- (5) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A) \subseteq g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(B)$  and  $g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(A) \subseteq g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(B)$ .
- (6) (a)  $g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A \cap B) \subseteq g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A) \cap g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(B)$  and,  
 (b)  $g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(A \cap B) \subseteq g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(A) \cap g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(B)$ .
- (7) (a)  $g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A) \cup g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(B) \subseteq g\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(A \cup B)$  and,

$$(b) \ g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \cup g\alpha Cl_\gamma(B) \subseteq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A \cup B).$$

$$(8) \ g\alpha_\gamma Cl(g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A)) = g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A).$$

*Proof.* Straightforward.

**Theorem 4.** For any subsets  $A, B$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , if  $\gamma$  is a  $g\alpha$ -regular operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ , then

$$(1) \ g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A) \cup g\alpha_\gamma Cl(B) = g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A \cup B).$$

$$(2) \ g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \cup g\alpha Cl_\gamma(B) = g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A \cup B).$$

*Proof.* (1) It is enough to prove that  $g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A \cup B) \subseteq g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A) \cup g\alpha_\gamma Cl(B)$  since the other part follows directly from Lemma 2 (7). Let  $x \notin g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A) \cup g\alpha_\gamma Cl(B)$ . Then there exist two  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $x$  such that  $A \cap U = \emptyset$  and  $B \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a  $g\alpha$ -regular operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ , then by Lemma 1 (1),  $U \cap V$  is  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -open in  $X$  such that  $(U \cap V) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ . Therefore, we have  $x \notin g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A \cup B)$  and hence  $g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A \cup B) \subseteq g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A) \cup g\alpha_\gamma Cl(B)$ .

(2) Let  $x \notin g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \cup g\alpha Cl_\gamma(B)$ . Then there exist  $g\alpha$ -open sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $x \in V_1, x \in V_2, A \cap \gamma(V_1) = \emptyset$  and  $A \cap \gamma(V_2) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a  $g\alpha$ -regular operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ , then there exists a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $\gamma(U) \subseteq \gamma(V_1) \cap \gamma(V_2)$ . Thus, we have  $(A \cup B) \cap \gamma(U) \subseteq (A \cup B) \cap (\gamma(V_1) \cap \gamma(V_2))$ . This implies that  $(A \cup B) \cap \gamma(U) = \emptyset$  since  $(A \cup B) \cap (\gamma(V_1) \cap \gamma(V_2)) = \emptyset$ . This means that  $x \notin g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A \cup B)$  and hence  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A \cup B) \subseteq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \cup g\alpha Cl_\gamma(B)$ . Using Lemma 2 (7), we have the equality.

**Definition 6.** An operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  is said to be  $g\alpha$ -open if for each  $x \in X$  and for every  $g\alpha$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$ , there exists a  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $U \subseteq \gamma(V)$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $A$  be any subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $\gamma$  is a  $g\alpha$ -open operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ , then  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) = g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A)$ ,  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)) = g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$  and  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$  is  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -closed in  $X$ .

*Proof.* First we need to show that  $g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A) \subseteq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$  since by Lemma 2 (2), we have  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A)$ . Now let  $x \notin g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$ , then there exists a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $V$  containing  $x$  such that  $A \cap \gamma(V) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a  $g\alpha$ -open on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ , then there exists a  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $U \subseteq \gamma(V)$ . So  $A \cap U = \emptyset$  and hence by Theorem 3,  $x \notin g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A)$ . Therefore,  $g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A) \subseteq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$ . Hence  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) = g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A)$ . Moreover, using the above result and by Lemma 2 (8), we get  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)) = g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$  and by Lemma 2 (4b), we obtain  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$  is  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -closed in  $X$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and let  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$ . Then  $\tau_\alpha = \tau_{g\alpha} = \tau$ . Define an operation  $\gamma: \tau_{g\alpha} \rightarrow P(X)$  by  $\gamma(A) = \alpha Cl(A)$  for every  $A \in \tau_{g\alpha}$ . Clearly,  $\tau_{g\alpha\gamma} = \{\emptyset, X\}$ . So  $\gamma$  is not  $g\alpha$ -open on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . If  $A = \{1\}$ , then  $g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A) = X$  and  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) = \{1, 3\}$ . Therefore,  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \neq g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A)$ ,  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)) \neq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$  and  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$  is not  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -closed in  $X$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $A$  be any subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $\gamma$  be an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $A$  is  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -open.
- (2)  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A$ .
- (3)  $g\alpha_\gamma Cl(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A$ .
- (4)  $X \setminus A$  is  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -closed.

*Proof.* Clear.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $\gamma$  be a  $g\alpha$ -regular operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . Then  $g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A) \cap U \subseteq g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A \cap U)$  holds for every  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -open set  $U$  and every subset  $A$  of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A) \cap U$  for every  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -open set  $U$ , then  $x \in g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A)$  and  $x \in U$ . Let  $V$  be any  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -open set of  $X$  containing  $x$ . Since  $\gamma$  is  $g\alpha$ -regular on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . So by Lemma 1 (1),  $U \cap V$  is  $g\alpha_\gamma$ -open containing  $x$ . Since  $x \in g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A)$ , then by Theorem 3, we have  $A \cap (U \cap V) \neq \emptyset$ . This means that  $(A \cap U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore, again by Theorem 3, we obtain that  $x \in g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A \cap U)$ . Thus,  $g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A) \cap U \subseteq g\alpha_\gamma Cl(A \cap U)$ .

### 3 $g\alpha\gamma$ -separation axioms

This section studies properties of some types of separation axioms called  $g\alpha\gamma-T_i$  for  $i \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2\}$ .

**Definition 7.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $g\alpha\gamma-T_0$  if for any two distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ , there exists a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $y \notin \gamma(V)$  or  $y \in V$  and  $x \notin \gamma(V)$ .

**Definition 8.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $g\alpha\gamma-T_1$  if for any two distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ , there exist two  $g\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively such that  $y \notin \gamma(U)$  and  $x \notin \gamma(V)$ .

**Definition 9.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $g\alpha\gamma-T_2$  if for any two distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ , there exist two  $g\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively such that  $\gamma(U) \cap \gamma(V) = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 7.** The space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_1$  if and only if for every point  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a point of a  $g\alpha\gamma-T_1$  space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then for any point  $y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ , there exists a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $V_y$  such that  $y \in V_y$  but  $x \notin \gamma(V_y)$ . Thus,  $y \in \gamma(V_y) \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$ . This implies that  $X \setminus \{x\} = \cup \{\gamma(V_y) : y \in X \setminus \{x\}\}$ . It is shown that  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $\{x\}$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Conversely, let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ . By hypothesis, we get  $X \setminus \{y\}$  and  $X \setminus \{x\}$  are  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open sets such that  $x \in X \setminus \{y\}$  and  $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ . Therefore, there exist  $g\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$ ,  $\gamma(U) \subseteq X \setminus \{y\}$  and  $\gamma(V) \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$ . So,  $y \notin \gamma(U)$  and  $x \notin \gamma(V)$ . This implies that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_1$ .

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\gamma$  be a  $g\alpha$ -open operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $g\alpha\gamma-T_0$  space if and only if  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{x\}) \neq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{y\})$  for every distinct points  $x, y$  of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be any two distinct points of a  $g\alpha\gamma-T_0$  space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then by definition, we assume that there exists a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $y \notin \gamma(V)$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a  $g\alpha$ -open operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ , then there exists a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $U \subseteq \gamma(V)$ . Hence  $y \in X \setminus \gamma(V) \subseteq X \setminus U$ . Since  $X \setminus U$  is a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then we obtain that  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{y\}) \subseteq X \setminus U$  and therefore  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{x\}) \neq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{y\})$ .

Conversely, suppose for any  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , we have  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{x\}) \neq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{y\})$ . Now, we assume that there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $z \in g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{x\})$ , but  $z \notin g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{y\})$ . If  $x \in g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{y\})$ , then  $\{x\} \subseteq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{y\})$ , which implies that  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{x\}) \subseteq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{y\})$  (by Lemma 2 (5)). This implies that  $z \in g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{y\})$ . This contradiction shows that  $x \notin g\alpha Cl_\gamma(\{y\})$ . This means that by Definition 4, there exists a  $g\alpha$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $\gamma(V) \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$ . Thus, we have that  $x \in V$  and  $y \notin \gamma(V)$ . It gives that the space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_0$ .

**Definition 10.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $g\alpha\gamma$ -generalized closed (in short  $g\alpha\gamma g$ .closed) if  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq V$  whenever  $A \subseteq V$  and  $V$  is a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set in  $X$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $\gamma$  be an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . A set  $A$  in  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ .closed if and only if  $A \cap g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\}) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ .closed in  $X$  and suppose (if possible) that there exists an element  $x \in g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$  such that  $A \cap g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\}) = \emptyset$ . This follows that  $A \subseteq X \setminus g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$ . Since  $g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed and  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ .closed in  $X$ , then  $X \setminus g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open and so  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq X \setminus g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$ . This means that  $x \notin g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $A \cap g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Conversely, let  $V \in \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}$  such that  $A \subseteq V$ . To show that  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq V$ , let  $x \in g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$ . By hypothesis,  $A \cap g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ . So there exists an element  $y \in A \cap g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$ . Therefore  $y \in A \subseteq V$  and  $y \in g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$ . By Theorem 3,  $\{x\} \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $x \in V$  and so  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq V$ . Thus,  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ .closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 9.** Let  $A$  be a subset of topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $\gamma$  be an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . If  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed, then  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A$  does not contain any non-empty  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set.

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a non-empty  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set in  $X$  such that  $E \subseteq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A$ . Then  $E \subseteq X \setminus A$  implies that  $A \subseteq X \setminus E$ . Since  $X \setminus E$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open and  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed, then  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq X \setminus E$ . That is  $E \subseteq X \setminus g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$ . Hence  $E \subseteq X \setminus g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \cap g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A \subseteq X \setminus g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \cap g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) = \phi$ . This shows that  $E = \phi$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $E \not\subseteq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A$ .

**Theorem 10.** If  $\gamma: \tau_{g\alpha} \rightarrow P(X)$  is a  $g\alpha$ -open operation, then the converse of the Theorem 9 is true.

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subseteq V$ . Since  $\gamma: \tau_{g\alpha} \rightarrow P(X)$  is a  $g\alpha$ -open operation, by Theorem 5,  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed in  $X$ . Thus, using Theorem 1, we have  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \cap X \setminus V$  is a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $X \setminus V \subseteq X \setminus A$ , then  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \cap X \setminus V \subseteq g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A$ . Using the assumption of the converse of Theorem 9,  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq V$ . Therefore,  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $A$  be a  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed subset of topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $\gamma$  be an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . Then  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed if and only if  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed.

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ . By Lemma 2 (4b),  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) = A$  and so  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A = \phi$  which is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed.

Conversely, suppose that  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed and  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed. By Theorem 9,  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A$  does not contain any non-empty  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set and since  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed subset of itself, then  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \setminus A = \phi$  implies that  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \cap X \setminus A = \phi$ . So  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) = A$ . Hence  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 11.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $\gamma$  be an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . If a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed and  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open, then  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed.

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed and  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open in  $X$ , then  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq A$  and so, by Lemma 2 (4b),  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space with an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . For each point  $x \in X$ ,  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is either  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed or  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is not  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open. Then  $X$  is the only  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set containing  $X \setminus \{x\}$ . This implies that  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(X \setminus \{x\}) \subseteq X$ . Thus  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is a  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed set in  $X$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space with an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . For each point  $x \in X$ , either  $\{x\}$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed or  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is not  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed, then  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is not  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open. By Theorem 12,  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed in  $X$ .

**Definition 11.** The  $\tau_{g\alpha\gamma}$ -kernel of a subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$ , denoted by  $\tau_{g\alpha\gamma}\text{-ker}(A)$ , is defined as is the intersection of all  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau)$  containing  $A$ .

**Theorem 13.** Let  $A \subseteq (X, \tau)$  and let  $\gamma$  be an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . Then  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed if and only if  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}\text{-ker}(A)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed. Then  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq V$ , whenever  $A \subseteq V$  and  $V$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open. Let  $x \in g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$ . By Lemma 4,  $A \cap g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\}) \neq \phi$ . So there exists a point  $z$  in  $X$  such that  $z \in A \cap g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$  which implies that  $z \in A \subseteq V$  and  $z \in g\alpha_\gamma Cl(\{x\})$ . By Theorem 3,  $\{x\} \cap V \neq \phi$ . This concludes that  $x \in \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}\text{-ker}(A)$ . Therefore,  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}\text{-ker}(A)$ .

Conversely, let  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}\text{-ker}(A)$ . Let  $V$  be a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set containing  $A$ . Let  $x$  be a point in  $X$  such that  $x \in g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A)$ . Then  $x \in \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}\text{-ker}(A)$ . Now, we have  $x \in V$ , because  $A \subseteq V$  and  $V \in \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}$ . Therefore  $g\alpha Cl_\gamma(A) \subseteq \tau_{g\alpha\gamma}\text{-ker}(A) \subseteq V$ . Thus  $A$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed in  $X$ .

**Definition 12.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  if every  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed set in  $X$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed.

**Theorem 14.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  if and only if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is either  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed or  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  space and let  $\{x\}$  be not a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ . By Corollary 2,  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed which means that  $\{x\}$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open in  $X$ .

Conversely, let  $E$  be a  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ . We have to show that  $E$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed (that is  $g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(E) = E$  (by Lemma 2 (4b))). It is sufficient to show that  $g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(E) \subseteq E$ . Let  $x \in g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(E)$ . By hypothesis  $\{x\}$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed or  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open for each  $x \in X$ . We consider two cases:

**Case (1):** Let  $\{x\}$  be a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set. Suppose that  $x \notin E$ , then  $x \in g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(E) \setminus E$  contains a non-empty  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed set  $\{x\}$ . Since  $E$  is  $g\alpha\gamma g$ -closed set, so this leads us to contradiction according to Theorem 9. Thus  $x \in E$ . Therefore  $g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(E) \subseteq E$  and so  $g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(E) = E$ . This means that  $E$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  space.

**Case (2):** let  $\{x\}$  be a  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open set. By Theorem 3,  $E \cap \{x\} \neq \emptyset$  which implies that  $x \in E$ . So  $g\alpha Cl_{\gamma}(E) \subseteq E$ . By Lemma 2 (4b),  $E$  is  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed. Therefore,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  space.

**Theorem 15.** For any topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and any operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ , the following properties hold.

- (1) Every  $g\alpha\gamma-T_2$  space is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_1$ .
- (2) Every  $g\alpha\gamma-T_1$  space is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .
- (3) Every  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  space is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_0$ .

*Proof.* The proofs can be followed from their definitions.

The converse of each statement in Theorem 15 is not true in general as shown by the following examples.

**Example 3.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and let  $\tau$  be the discrete topology on  $X$ . If  $\gamma: \tau_{g\alpha} \rightarrow P(X)$  is an operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  defined by For every  $A \in \tau_{g\alpha}$

$$\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } A = \{1, 2\} \text{ or } \{1, 3\} \text{ or } \{2, 3\} \\ X & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then the space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_1$ , but it is not  $g\alpha\gamma-T_2$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and let  $\tau$  be all subsets of  $X$ . Define an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  as follows: For every set  $A \in \tau_{g\alpha}$

$$\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } A = \{1\} \text{ or } \{3\} \text{ or } \{1, 3\} \text{ or } \{2, 3\} \\ X & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\tau_{g\alpha\gamma} = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  but it is not  $g\alpha\gamma-T_1$ .

**Example 5.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$ . Then  $\tau_{g\alpha} = \tau_{\alpha} = \tau$ . Define an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  as follows. For every set  $A \in \tau_{g\alpha}$

$$\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } 2 \in A \\ Cl(A) & \text{if } 2 \notin A \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\tau_{g\alpha\gamma} = \{\emptyset, X, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$ . Hence the space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\alpha\gamma-T_0$ , but it is not  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Since  $\{1\}$  is neither  $g\alpha\gamma$ -closed nor  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open in  $X$  by Theorem 14.

*Remark.* In 2018, Ameen [5], examined, respectively, that the set of preopen (b-open and  $\beta$ -open) subsets coincides with set of pg-open (bg-open and  $\beta g$ -open) subsets of all spaces  $(X, \tau)$ . Defining operations like  $\gamma$  on the later classes of

sets turns to be identical to  $\gamma$  on some sets already exist in the literature. Namely,  $\gamma$  operation, respectively, on  $pg$ -open (b $g$ -open and  $\beta g$ -open) sets will be the same as  $\gamma$  on preopen [10] (b-open [12] and  $\beta$ -open [20]) sets. This remark can be applied to all kind of open sets involving the operation  $\gamma$ . For undefined terms in the remark, we refer the reader to Definition 1.6 in [5].

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper, we introduced a  $\gamma$  operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$ . We analyzed  $g\alpha\gamma$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau)$  via  $\gamma$  operation on  $\tau_{g\alpha}$  operation. In addition,  $g\alpha\gamma-T_i$  spaces where  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , have been studied. Finally, we defined  $g\alpha\gamma$ -generalized closed sets and then the space  $g\alpha\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  has been investigated.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Authors' contributions

All authors have contributed to all parts of the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- [1] N. Ahmad and B. A. Asaad, More properties of an operation on semi-generalized open sets, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **39** (2018), 608-627.
- [2] T. M. Al-shami, On supra semi open sets and some applications on topological spaces, *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, **8** (2) (2017) 144-153.
- [3] T. M. Al-shami, Somewhere dense sets and  $ST_1$ -spaces, *Punjab Univ. J. Math. (Lahore)*, **49** (2) (2017), 101-111.
- [4] T. M. Al-shami, Some results related to supra topological spaces, *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, **7** (2016) 283-294.
- [5] Z. A. Ameen, On types of generalized closed sets, *Journal of Taibah University for Science*, **12** (3) (2018), 290-293.
- [6] T. V. An, D.X. Cuong and H. Maki, On operation-preopen sets in topological spaces, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online*, **68** (1) (2008), 11-30, (e-2008), 241-260.
- [7] B. A. Asaad, Some applications of generalized open sets via operations, *New Trends in Mathematical Sciences*, **5** (1) (2017), 145-157.
- [8] B. A. Asaad and N. Ahmad, Further characterizations of  $\gamma$ -extremally disconnected spaces, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **108** (3) (2016), 533-549.
- [9] B. A. Asaad and N. Ahmad, Operation on semi generalized open sets with its separation axioms, *AIP Conference Proceedings* **1905**, 020001 (2017); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5012141>.
- [10] B. A. Asaad, N. Ahmad and Z. Omar,  $\gamma$ -Regular-open sets and  $\gamma$ -extremally disconnected spaces, *Mathematical Theory and Modeling*, **3** (12) (2013), 132-141.
- [11] M. E. El-Shafei, M. Abo-Elhamayel and T. M. Al-shami, On supra  $R$ -open sets and some applications on topological spaces, *Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics*, **8** (2) (2016) 1237-1248.
- [12] C. Carpintero, N. Rajesh and E. Rosas, Operation approaches on  $b$ -open sets and applications, *Bulletin of Parana's Mathematical Society*, **30** (1) (2012), 21-33.
- [13] D. S. Jankovic, On functions with  $\alpha$ -closed graphs, *Glasnik Matematicki*, **18** (3) (1983), 141-148.
- [14] S. Kasahara, Operation compact spaces, *Math. Japonica*, **24** (1) (1979), 97-105.
- [15] G. S. S. Krishnan, M. Ganster and K. Balachandran, Operation approaches on semi-open sets and applications, *Kochi Journal of Mathematics*, **2** (2007), 21-33.



- [16] H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, Generalized  $\alpha$ -closed sets in topology, *Bulletin of Fukuoka University of Education Part 3 Mathematics natural sciences and technology*, **42** (1993), 13-21.
- [17] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J. Math.*, **15** (3) (1965), 961-970.
- [18] H. Ogata, Operation on topological spaces and associated topology, *Math. Japonica*, **36** (1) (1991), 175-184.
- [19] I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanmurthy, On  $\alpha$ -continuity in topological spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **45** (1-2) (1985), 27-32.
- [20] S. Tahiliani, Operation approach to  $\beta$ -open sets and applications, *Mathematical Communications*, **16** (2011), 577-591.