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1 Introduction

The classification of semisimple Lie algebras with involutions can be found in [5]. The Hom-Lie algebras were initially introduced by Hartwig, Larson and Silvestrov in [6] motivated initially by examples of deformed Lie algebras coming from twisted discretizations of vector fields. The Killing form $K$ of $g$ is nondegenerate and $\hat{K}$ is symmetric with respect to $K$. In [1], the author studied Hom-Lie triple system using the double extension and gives an inductive description of quadratic Hom-Lie triple system. In this work we recall the definition of involutive Hom-Lie triple systems and some related structure and we prove that all involutive Hom-Lie triple systems are whether simple or semi-simple. Moreover, we prove that an involutive simple Lie triple system give a rise of Involutive Hom-Lie triple system.

Definition 1. A Hom-Lie triple system is a triple $(L, [-,-,-], \alpha)$ consisting of a linear space $L$, a trilinear map $[-,-,-]: L \times L \times L \rightarrow L$ and a linear map $\alpha : L \rightarrow L$ such that

$$[x,x,z] = 0, \quad (\text{skewsymmetry})$$
$$[x,y,z] + [y,z,x] + [z,x,y] = 0, \quad (\text{ternary Jacobi identity})$$
$$[\alpha(u),\alpha(v),[x,y,z]] = [[u,v,x],\alpha(y),\alpha(z)] + [\alpha(x),[u,v,y],\alpha(z)] + [\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[u,v,z]],$$

for all $x,y,z,u,v \in L$. If Moreover $\alpha$ satisfies $\alpha([x,y,z]) = [\alpha(x),\alpha(y),\alpha(z)]$ (resp. $\alpha^2 = \text{id}_L$) for all $x,y,z \in L$, we say that $(L, [-,-,-], \alpha)$ is a multiplicative (resp. involutive) Hom-Lie triple system. A Hom-Lie triple system $(L, [-,-,-], \alpha)$ is said to be regular if $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $L$.

When the twisting map $\alpha$ is equal to the identity map, we recover the usual notion of Lie triple system [4,3]. So, Lie triple systems are examples of Hom-Lie triple systems. If we introduce the right multiplication $R$ defined for all $x,y \in L$ by $R(x,y)(z) := [x,y,z]$, then the conditions above can be written as follow:

$$R(x,y) = -R(y,x),$$
$$R(x,y)z + R(y,z)x + R(z,x)y = 0,$$
$$R(\alpha(u),\alpha(v))[x,y,z] = [R(u,v)x,\alpha(y),\alpha(z)] + [\alpha(x),R(u,v)y,\alpha(z)] + [\alpha(x),\alpha(y),R(u,v)z].$$
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We can also introduce the middle (resp. left) multiplication operator \( M(x,y) := [x,y,z] \) (resp. \( L(y,z)x := [x,y,z] \)) for all \( x,y,z \in L \). The equations above can be written in operator form respectively as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
M(x,y) &= -L(x,y) \\
M(x,y) - M(y,x) &= R(x,y) \quad \text{for all } x,y \in L.
\end{align*}
\]

We can write the equation above as one of the equivalent identities of operators:

\[
\begin{align*}
R(\alpha(u), \alpha(v))R(x,y) - R(\alpha(x), \alpha(y))R(u,v) &= (R(R(u,v)x, \alpha(y)) + R(\alpha(x), R(u,v)y))\alpha, \\
R(\alpha(u), \alpha(v))M(x,z) - M(\alpha(x), \alpha(z))R(u,v) &= (M(R(u,v)x, \alpha(z)) + M(\alpha(x), R(u,v)z))\alpha.
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition 2.** Let \((L, [-,-,-], \alpha)\) and \((L', [-,-,-]', \alpha')\) be two two Hom-Lie triple systems. A linear map \( f : L \rightarrow L' \) is a morphism of Hom-Lie triple systems if

\[
f([x,y,z]) = [f(x), f(y), f(z)]' \quad \text{and} \quad f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f.
\]

In particular, if \( f \) is invertible, then \( L \) and \( L' \) are said to be isomorphic.

**Definition 3.** Let \((L, [-,-,-], \alpha)\) be a Hom-Lie triple system and \( I \) be a subspace of \( L \). We say that \( I \) is an ideal of \( L \) if \([I,L,L] \subset I \) and \( \alpha(I) \subset I \).

**Definition 4.** A Hom-Lie triple system \( L \) is said to be simple (resp. semisimple) if it contains no nontrivial ideal (resp. \( \text{Rad}(L) = \{0\} \)).

According to a result in [2], if \( A \) is a Malcev algebra, then \((A, [-,-,-])\) is a Lie triple system with triple product

\[
[x,y,z] = 2(xy)z - (zx)y - (yz)x.
\]

Thus, if \( A \) is a Malcev algebra and \( \alpha : A \rightarrow A \) is an algebra morphism, then \( A_{\alpha} = (A, [-,-,-]_{\alpha} = \alpha \circ [-,-,-], \alpha) \) is a multiplicative Hom-Lie triple system, where \([-,-,-]\) is the triple product in (3).

**Proposition 1.** Let \( L \) be a Lie triple system and \( \alpha \) be an automorphism of \( L \). If \( L \) is simple, the \( L \) is also simple.

Since \( L \) is not abelian, then \( L_{\alpha} \) is also not abelian. Moreover, let \( I \) be an ideal of \( L_{\alpha} \). For all \( x,y \in L \) and \( a \in I \) we have,

\[
[a,x,y]_{\alpha} \in I.
\]

That is,

\[
[\alpha(a), \alpha(x), \alpha(y)] \in I.
\]

Consequently, \( I \) is an ideal of \( L \) because \( \alpha \) is an automorphism. Thus, \( I = \{0\} \).

**Theorem 1.** Let \((L, [\ldots, \cdot], \theta)\) be an involutive Hom-Lie triple system. Then, \((L, [\ldots, \cdot], \theta)\) is simple or semi-simple. Moreover, in the second case \( L \) can be written as \( L := L_{\theta} = \mathcal{F} \oplus \theta(\mathcal{F}) \) where \( \mathcal{F} \) is a simple ideal of \( L \). Conversely, if \((L, [\ldots, \cdot], \theta)\) is an involutive simple Lie triple system, then \((L, [\ldots, \cdot], \theta)\) is an involutive Hom-Lie triple system.

Suppose that \( L_{\theta} \) is not simple and put \( \mathcal{F} \) a minimal ideal of \( L_{\theta} \). We get \([L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathcal{F}]_{\theta} \) is an ideal of \( L_{\theta} \) which is contained on \( \mathcal{F} \). Thus,

\[
[L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathcal{F}]_{\theta} = \{0\} \quad \text{or} \quad [L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathcal{F}]_{\theta} = \mathcal{F}.
\]

Now, firstly, if \([L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathcal{F}]_{\theta} = \{0\} \), then \( \theta([L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathcal{F}]_{\theta}) = \{0\} \). That is, \([L, [L, \theta(\mathcal{F})]] = \{0\} \), because \( \theta \) is a bijective linear map. which mean that \( \theta(\mathcal{F}) \subset Z(L) = \{0\} \). Thus, \([L, L, \theta(\mathcal{F})] = \mathcal{F} \). Hence, \([L, L, \theta(\mathcal{F})] = \mathcal{F} \). Which
implies that $\theta([L, L, \theta(\mathcal{F})]) = [\theta(L), \theta(L), \theta^2(\mathcal{F})] = \theta(\mathcal{F})$. Consequently,

$$[L, L, \mathcal{F}] = [\theta(L), \theta(L), \theta^2(\mathcal{F})] = \theta(\mathcal{F}).$$

Furthermore,

$$\theta(\mathcal{F} + \theta(\mathcal{F})) = \theta(\mathcal{F}) + \theta^2(\mathcal{F}) = \theta(\mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{F}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{F} + \theta(\mathcal{F})$ is an ideal of $(L, [\ldots, \cdot], \theta)$. Since $\mathcal{F} + \theta(\mathcal{F}) \neq \{0\}$, then $L = \mathcal{F} + \theta(\mathcal{F})$.

Now, we have to prove that the summation is direct. In fact, since $\theta$ is an automorphism of $L_\theta$, then $\theta(\mathcal{F})$ is an ideal of $L_\theta$. Thus, $\mathcal{F} \cap \theta(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ or $\mathcal{F} \cap \theta(\mathcal{F}) = \{0\}$ because $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \cap \theta(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F} = \theta(\mathcal{F})$ because $\theta$ is bijective. On the other hand,

$$[L, L, \mathcal{F}] = \theta([\theta(L), \theta(L), \theta(\mathcal{F})]) = \theta([L, L, \mathcal{F}]) \subset \theta(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{F}$ is an ideal of $(L, [\ldots, \cdot], \theta)$ and $L = \mathcal{F} \oplus \theta(\mathcal{F})$. Since $\theta$ is an automorphism of $L$ then $\theta$ is an automorphism of $L_\theta$.

Let us prove that $\mathcal{F}$ is a simple ideal of $(L_\theta, [\ldots, \cdot], \theta)$. In fact, $L = \mathcal{F} \oplus \theta(\mathcal{F})$. Since $\theta$ is an automorphism of $L$ then $\theta$ is an automorphism of $L_\theta$.

$$[\theta(\mathcal{F}), L, L] = [\theta(\mathcal{F}), \theta(L), \theta(L)] = \theta([\mathcal{F}, \theta(L), \theta(L)]) = \theta([\mathcal{F}, L, L]) \subset \theta(\mathcal{F}).$$

Thus, $\theta(\mathcal{F})$ is an ideal of $L_\theta$. Furthermore,

$$[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}, L] = [\mathcal{F} \oplus \theta(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{F} \oplus \theta(\mathcal{F}), L] = [L_\theta, L_\theta, L] = \mathcal{F}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{F}$ is a simple ideal of $L_\theta$ because it is simple with $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}]_{\theta} = \mathcal{F}$. Consequently, $L_\theta$ is semi-simple.

**Corollary 1.** Let $(L, [\ldots, \cdot])$ be a Lie triple system with involution $\theta$, such that $L = \mathcal{F} \oplus \theta(\mathcal{F})$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is a simple ideal of $(L, [\ldots, \cdot])$. Then the Hom-Lie triple system $(L_\theta, [\ldots, \cdot], \theta)$ is simple.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ideal of $L_\theta$ such that $\mathcal{F} \neq \{0\}$. We have

$$[L, L, \theta(\mathcal{F})] = [\theta(L), \theta(L), \theta(\mathcal{F})] = [L, L, \mathcal{F}]$$

because $L = \theta(L)$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is an ideal of $L_\theta$. Moreover,

$$[L, L, \mathcal{F}] = \theta([\theta(L), \theta(L), \theta(\mathcal{F})]) = \theta([L, L, \mathcal{F}]) \subset \theta(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F},$$

because $\mathcal{F}$ is stable under $\theta$ since it is an ideal of the Hom-Lie triple system of $L_\theta$. Consequently, $\mathcal{F}$ is an ideal of $L$. Thus, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ or $\mathcal{F} = \theta(\mathcal{F})$ or $\mathcal{F} = L$. Since $\theta(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} \neq \theta(\mathcal{F})$. Thus, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \oplus \theta(\mathcal{F}) = L$.

Moreover, since $[L, L, L] = L$, then $[L_\theta, L_\theta, L_\theta] = L_\theta$. Thus, $(L_\theta, [\ldots, \cdot], \theta)$ is a simple Hom-Lie triple system.
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