A fuzzy inventory model with unit production cost, time depended holding cost, without shortages under a space constraint: a parametric geometric programming approach
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Abstract: In this paper, an inventory model with unit production cost, time depended holding cost, without shortages is formulated and solved. We have considered a single objective structural optimization model. In most real world situation, the objective and constraint function of the decision makers are imprecise in nature. Hence the coefficients, indices, the objective function and constraint goals are imposed here in fuzzy environment. Geometric programming provides a powerful tool for solving a variety of imprecise optimization problems. Here we use nearest interval approximation method to convert a triangular fuzzy number to an interval number. In this paper, we transform this interval number to a parametric interval-valued functional form and then solve the parametric problem by geometric programming technique. Numerical example is given to illustrate the model through this Parametric Geometric-Programming method.
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1 Introduction

An inventory deal with decision that minimum the total average cost or maximize The total average profit. For this purpose the task is to construct a mathematical model of the real life Inventory system, such a mathematical model is based on various assumption and approximation.

In ordinary inventory model it consider all parameter like set-up cost, holding cost, interest cost a fixed. But in real life situation it will have some little fluctuations. So consideration of fuzzy variables is more realistic.

Geometric Programming (GP) method is an effective method used to solve a non-linear programming problem like structural problem. It has certain advantages over the other optimization methods. Here, the advantage is that it is usually much simpler to work with the dual than the primal one. Solving a non-linear programming problem by GP method with degree of difficulty (DD) plays essential role. (It is defined as DD = total number of terms in objective function and constraints – total number of decision variables -1).

The study of inventory model where demand rates varies with time is the last decades. Geometric Programming (GP) is one of the effective methods to solve a particular type of Non linear programming problem. Geometric programming is introduced by Zener (1971) and it further developed by Duffin. Duffin R J, Peterson E L, Zener C M[6](19670) studied Geometric Programming-Theory and Application . Park and Wang studied shortages and partial backlogging of items. Friedman(1978) presented continuous time inventory model with time varying demand. Ritchie(1984) studied in inventory model with linear increasing demand. Goswami, Chaudhuri(1991) discussed an inventory model with

In this paper we first consider crisp inventory model. There after it transformed to fuzzy inventory mode and developed. First we solved the model b Fuzzy Max-Min Geometric-Programming technique and then it solved by Fuzzy parametric Geometric -Programming technique. At last it made an example and solved it by both Technique.

2 Mathematical model

An Inventory model is developed under the following notations and assumptions.

2.1 Notations

I(t):Inventory level at any time, t≥ 0.
D: Demand per unit, time which is constant.
T: Cycle of length.
S: Set-up cost per unit time.
H: Holding cost per unit item, which is time depended.
P: Unit demand and set-up cost dependent production cost.
q: Production quantity per batch.
f(D,S): Unit production cost per cycle.
TAC(D,S,q): Total average cost per unit time.
w0: Space area per unit quantity.
W: Total storage space area.

2.2 Assumptions

(a) The inventory system involves only one item.
(b) The replenishment occur instantaneously at infinite rate.
(c) The lead time is negligible.
(d) Demand rate is constant. (e) The unit production cost is continuous function of demand and Set-up cost and take the following form
\[ P = \theta D^{-x} S^{1}, \theta, x \in R, (> 0). \]
(f) Holding cost is time depended, as at.

2.3 Crisp model

The differential equation describing I(t) as follows
\[ \frac{dI(t)}{dt} = -D, 0 \leq t \leq T \]
with the boundary condition \( I(0) = q, I(T) = 0 \). The solution of (1) is obtained as

\[
I(t) = q - Dt. \tag{2}
\]

Also there are

\[
T = q/D. \tag{3}
\]

Now inventory holding cost

\[
H \int_0^T \text{at} \cdot I(t) \, dt = \frac{aHq^3}{6D^2}. \tag{3}
\]

Total inventory related cost per cycle = set-up cost + holding cost + production cost

\[
S + \frac{aHq^3}{6D^2} + Pq. \tag{4}
\]

So total average cost per cycle is given by

\[
\text{TAC}(D, S, q) = \frac{SD}{q} + \frac{aHq^3}{6D} + \theta D^{1-x} S^{-1}, \tag{5}
\]

and

\[
\text{storage area} = w_0 q. \tag{6}
\]

Hence the inventory model can be written as

\[
\text{Min TAC}(D, S, q) = \frac{SD}{q} + \frac{aHq^3}{6D} + \theta D^{1-x} S^{-1} \tag{6}
\]

subject to \( w_0 q \leq \tilde{W}, D, S, q > 0 \).

### 2.4 Fuzzy model

When the objective and constraint goals, coefficients and exponents become fuzzy sets and fuzzy numbers respectively, the crisp model (6) written to be a fuzzy model, as

\[
\text{Min TAC}(D, S, q) = \frac{SD}{q} + \frac{\tilde{a}Hq^2}{6D} + \tilde{\theta} D^{1-x} S^{-1} \tag{7}
\]

subject to \( w_0 q \leq \tilde{W}, D, S, q > 0. \tag{8} \]
3 Geometric programming (GP) problem

**Primal program:** Primal Geometric Programming (PGP) problem is

Minimize \[ g_0(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{T_0} \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{0k} t_j^a_{kj} \]

subject to \[ \sum_{k=1}^{T_0} \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{0k} t_j^a_{kj} \leq 1, (r = 1, 2, \ldots, l), t_j > 0, (j = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \]

where \( C_{0k} (> 0) (k = 1, 2, \ldots, T_0), C_{rk} (> 0) \) and \( a_{rkj} (k = 1, 2, \ldots, 1 + T_r - 1, \ldots, T_r; r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, l; j = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \) are real numbers.

It is constrained polynomial PGP problem. The number of term each polynomial constrained functions varies and it is denoted by \( T_r \) for each \( r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, l \). Let \( T = T_0 + T_1 + T_2 + \ldots + T_l \) be the total number of terms in the primal program. The Degree of Difficulty is \((DD) = T - (m+1)\).

**Dual program:** Dual programming (DP) problem of 1 is:

Maximize \[ = \prod_{r=0}^{l} \sum_{k=1}^{T_r} \left( \frac{C_{rk}}{a_{rkj}} \delta_{rk} \right) \sum_{s=1}^{T_r} \delta_{rs} \]

subject to \[ \sum_{k=1}^{T_0} \delta_{0k} = 1 \quad \text{(Normality condition)} \]

\[ \sum_{r=0}^{l} \sum_{s=1}^{T_r} a_{rkj} \delta_{rk} = 0, \quad \text{(Orthogonality conditions)} \]

\[ \delta_{rk} > 0, (k = 1, 2, \ldots, T_r) \quad \text{(Positivity constant)} \]

**Case 1** For \( T_0 \geq M+1 \), the dual program presents a system of linear equations for the dual variables, where the number of linear equations is either less than or equal to dual variables. More or unique solution exist for the dual vectors.

**Case 2** For \( T_0 < M+1 \), the dual program presents a system of linear equations for the dual variables, where the number of linear equations is greater than the number of dual variables. In this case generally no solution vectors exists for the dual variables. However one can get an approximate solution vector for the system using either the Latest Square(SQ) or Max-Min(MN) method.

3.1 Solution procedure of crisp model by geometric programming(G.P) technique

Here the primal problem is

\[ \text{Min } TAC(D, S, q) = \frac{SD}{q} + \frac{\theta Hq^2}{6D} + \theta D^{1-x}S^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

subject to \( w_0q \leq W, D, S, q > 0 \). Corresponding dual form of 9 is given by

\[ \text{Max } (d\omega) = \left( \frac{1}{\omega_1} \right)^{a_{01}} \left( \frac{aH}{6\omega_2} \right)^{a_{02}} \left( \frac{\theta}{\omega_3} \right)^{a_{03}} \left( \frac{w_0}{W \omega_0} \right)^{a_{00}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)
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Subject to

\[ \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 = 1 \]
\[ \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 0 \]
\[ \omega_1 - \omega_2 + (1 - x) \omega_3 = 0 \]
\[ - \omega_1 + 2 \omega_2 + \omega_3 = 0 \]
\[ \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_3 \geq 0 \]

From 10 we get \( \omega_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \omega_2 = \frac{2}{4}, \omega_3 = \frac{1}{4} \), and \( \omega_3 = \frac{2}{4} \). Putting the values in 10 we get the optimal solution of dual problem.

The values of \( D, S, q \) is obtained by using the primal dual relation as follows. From primal dual relation we get

\[
\frac{SD}{q} = \omega_1^* \times d^*(\omega) \\
\frac{aHq^2}{6D} = \omega_2^* \times d^*(\omega) \\
\theta D^{1-3}S^{-1} = \omega_3^* \times d^*(\omega) \\
w_0 q/W = 1
\]

The optimal solution of the model through the parametric approach is given by

\[
d^*(\omega) = (4 - x)^{\frac{1}{2+}} \left( aH(4-x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2+}} \left( \theta (4-x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2+}} \times \left( \frac{w_0 (4-x)}{W(2x-3)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2+}} \left( \frac{2x-3}{4-x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2+}}
\]

and

\[
S^* = \frac{6 \omega_1^* \omega_2^* d^*(\omega)^2}{aH} \\
P^* = \frac{aHq^2}{6 \omega_2^* d^*(\omega)} \\
q^* = \frac{W}{w_0}.
\]

4 Fuzzy number and its nearest interval approximation

4.1 Fuzzy number

A real number \( \tilde{A} \) described as fuzzy subset on the real line \( R \) whose membership function \( \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \) has the following characteristics with \(-\alpha < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 < \alpha\)

\[
\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\mu_{\tilde{A}}^L(x), & \text{if } a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\
\mu_{\tilde{A}}^R(x), & \text{if } a_2 \leq x \leq a_3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

Where \( \mu_{\tilde{A}}^L(x) : [a_1, a_2] \to [0, 1] \) is continuous and strictly increasing and \( \mu_{\tilde{A}}^R(x) : [a_2, a_3] \to [0, 1] \) is continuous and strictly increasing.

\( \alpha - \text{cut of } \tilde{A} \): The \( \alpha - \text{cut} \) of \( \tilde{A} \), is defined by \( A_\alpha = \{ x : \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = \alpha, \alpha \geq 0 \} \) is a non-empty bounded closed interval in \( X \) and it can be denoted by \( A_\alpha = [A_L(\alpha), A_R(\alpha)] \). Where \( A_L(\alpha) \) and \( A_R(\alpha) \) are the lower and upper bounds of the closed interval respectively.

Figure 2 shows a fuzzy number \( \tilde{A} \) with \( \alpha \)-cuts \( A_{\alpha_1} = [A_L(\alpha_1), A_R(\alpha_1)], A_{\alpha_2} = [A_L(\alpha_2), A_R(\alpha_2)] \). It Seen that if \( \alpha_2 \geq \alpha_1 \) then \( A_L(\alpha_2) \geq A_L(\alpha_1) \) and \( A_R(\alpha_1) \geq A_R(\alpha_2) \).
4.2 Interval number

An interval number $A$ is defined by an ordered pair of real numbers as follows:

$$A = [a_L, a_R] = \{x : a_L \leq x \leq a_R, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

where $a_L$ are the left and $a_R$ right bounds of interval $A$, respectively. The interval $A$, is also defined by center $(\alpha_c)$ and half-width $(\alpha_w)$ as follows.

$$A = (\alpha_c, \alpha_w) = \{x : \alpha_c - \alpha_w \leq x \leq \alpha_c + \alpha_w, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

where $\alpha_c = \frac{a_L + a_R}{2}$ is the center and $\alpha_w = \frac{a_R - a_L}{2}$ is the half-width of $A$.

4.3 Nearest interval approximation

Here we want to approximate a fuzzy number by a crisp model. Suppose $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ are two fuzzy numbers with $\alpha$-cuts are $[A_L(\alpha), A_R(\alpha)]$ and $[B_L(\alpha), B_R(\alpha)]$ respectively. Then the distance between $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ is

$$d(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) = \sqrt{\int_0^1 (A_L(\alpha) - B_L(\alpha))^2 + \int_0^1 (A_R(\alpha) - B_R(\alpha))^2 d\alpha}.$$ 

with respect to $C_L$ and $C_R$. In order to minimize $d(\tilde{A}, C_D(\tilde{A}))$, it is sufficient to minimize the function $D(C_L, C_R) = (d^2(\tilde{A}, C_D(\tilde{A})))$. The first partial derivatives are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial C_L} D(C_L, C_R) = -2 \int_0^1 A_L(\alpha) d\alpha + 2C_L.$$ 

And

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial C_R} D(C_L, C_R) = -2 \int_0^1 A_R(\alpha) d\alpha + 2C_R.$$ 

Solving $\frac{\partial}{\partial C_L} D(C_L, C_R) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial C_R} D(C_L, C_R) = 0$, we get $C_L = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 A_L(\alpha) d\alpha$ and $C_R = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 A_R(\alpha) d\alpha$. Again since

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial C_L^2} (D(C_L^*, C_R^*)) = 2 > 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial C_R^2} (D(C_L^*, C_R^*)) = 2 > 0$$

Fig. 2: Trapezoidal fuzzy number of $\tilde{A}$ with $\alpha$-cuts.
The geometric programming problem with imprecise parameters is of the following form:

Its objective function is:

4.5 Geometric Programming with fuzzy coefficient

4.4 Parametric Interval-valued function

Let \([m, n]\) be an interval, where \(m > 0, n > 0\). From analytical geometry point of view, any real number can be represented on a line. Similarly; we can express an interval by a function. The parametric interval-valued function for the interval \([m, n]\) can be taken as \(g(s) = m^{1-s}n^s\) for \(s \in [0, 1]\), which is strictly monotone, continuous function and its inverse exists. Let \(\psi\) be the inverse of \(g(s)\), then

\[
\frac{\log \psi - \log m}{\log n - \log m}.
\]

4.5 Geometric Programming with fuzzy coefficient

When all coefficients of Eq. (6) are triangular fuzzy number, then the geometric programming problem is of the form

\[
\min_{x} \tilde{g}_0(x)
\]

subject to \(\tilde{g}_i(x) \preceq 1 (1 \leq i \leq n), x > 0\). Its objective function is

\[
\tilde{g}_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{T} \tilde{c}_{0k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_j^{\alpha_{kj}}
\]

and constraints of the form

\[
\tilde{g}_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{T} \tilde{c}_{ik} \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_j^{\alpha_{kj}} (1 \leq i \leq n)
\]

are all polynomials of \(x\) in which coefficients \(\tilde{c}_{ik}\) and indexes \(\tilde{c}_{ik}\) are fuzzy numbers. Where \(\tilde{c}_{0k} = (c_{0k}^1, c_{0k}^2, c_{0k}^3)\) and \(\tilde{c}_{ik} = (c_{ik}^1, c_{ik}^2, c_{ik}^3)\).

Using nearest interval approximation method, we transform all triangular fuzzy number into interval number i.e. \([c_{ik}^1, c_{ik}^2, c_{ik}^3]\) and \([c_{ik}^1, c_{ik}^2, c_{ik}^3]\).

The geometric programming problem with imprecise parameters is of the following form

\[
\min_{x} \tilde{g}_0(x)
\]

subject to \(\tilde{g}_i(x) \preceq 1(1 \leq i \leq n), x > 0\).

Its objective function is

\[
\tilde{g}_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{T} \tilde{c}_{0k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_j^{\alpha_{kj}}
\]
and constraints of the form
\[\hat{g}_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \hat{c}_{ik} \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n)\]
where \(\hat{c}_{ik}\) and \(\hat{g}_i\) denote the interval counterparts i.e. \(\hat{c}_{ik} \in [c_{ik}^L, c_{ik}^U]\) and \(\hat{g}_i \in [g_i^L, g_i^U]\). Using parametric interval-valued functional form, the problem reduces to
\[
\min g_0 (x, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( c_{ik}^L \right)^{1-s} \left( c_{ik}^U \right)^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij}^{a_{ij}} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n)
\]
Subject to
\[
g_i (x, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( c_{ik}^L \right)^{1-s} \left( c_{ik}^U \right)^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij}^{a_{ij}} \leq 1, \quad x_i > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\]
This is a parametric geometric programming problem. We get different solutions of this problem for different value of the parameter \(s\).

### 4.6 Solution procedure of fuzzy model by Geometric Programming (G.P) technique

When \(\hat{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3), \hat{H} = (H_1, H_2, H_3), \hat{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)\) and \(\hat{W} = (W_1, W_2, W_3)\) are triangular fuzzy number. then the fuzzy model is

\[
\text{(Min)} \quad \text{TAC}(D, S, q) = SD/q + (\hat{a}\hat{H}q^2)/6\hat{\theta}D^{1-s}S^{-1}
\]
subject to
\[
w_{0q} \leq \hat{W}, D, S, q > 0.
\]
Using nearest interval approximation method, the interval number corresponding triangular number \(\hat{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)\) is
\[
[(a_1 + a_2)/2, (a_3 + a_2)/2] = [a_L, a_U].
\]
Similarly interval number corresponding \(\hat{H}\hat{\theta}\) and \(\hat{W}\) are
\[
[(H_1 + H_2)/2, (H_3 + H_2)/2] = [H_L, H_U], [(\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2, (\theta_3 + \theta_2)/2] = [\theta_L, \theta_U]
\]
and
\[
[(W_1 + W_2)/2, (W_3 + W_2)/2] = [W_L, W_U]
\]
respectively. The problem (11) reduces to

\[
\text{Min} \quad \text{TAC}(D, S, q) = SD/q + ([a_L, a_U]H_LH_Uq^2)/6\hat{\theta}D^{1-s}S^{-1}
\]
subject to
\[
w_{0q} \leq [W_L, W_U], D, S, q > 0.
\]
which is equivalent to

\[
\text{Min} \quad \text{TAC}(D, S, q) = SD/q + (\hat{a}\hat{H}q^2)/6\hat{\theta}D^{1-s}S^{1-s} - 1)
\]
subject to
\[
w_{0q} \leq \hat{W}, D, S, q > 0.
\]
where \(\hat{a} \in [a_L, a_U], \hat{H} \in [H_L, H_U], \hat{\theta} \in [\theta_L, \theta_U]\) and \(\hat{W} \in [W_L, W_U]\).

According to section 4, the fuzzy model (13) reduces to a parametric programming by replacing
\[
\hat{a} = a^{(1-s)}L a^{(1-s)}u, \hat{H} = H^{(1-s)}L H^{(1-s)}u, \hat{\theta} = \theta^{(1-s)}L \theta^{(1-s)}u, \hat{W} = W^{(1-s)}L W^{(1-s)}u\]
and where \(s \in [0, 1]\).

The model takes the reduced form as follows

\[
\text{Min} \quad \text{TAC}(D, S, q) = SD/q + ([a_L^{(1-s)}L a_L^{(1-s)}u]H^{(1-s)}L H^{(1-s)}uq^2)/6\hat{\theta}^{(1-s)}L \theta^{(1-s)}uD^{(1-s)}S^{1-s} - 1)
\]
subject to

\[ w_0 q \leq (W_L^{1-x} W_U^x) D S q > 0 \]

Corresponding dual form of 9 is given by

\[ \text{Max}_{x} d(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega_1} \omega_1 \left( \frac{a_L (1-x) a_U^x (H_L^{1-x} H_U^x)}{6\omega_2} \right) \omega_2 \left( \frac{\theta_L (1-x) \theta_U^x}{\omega_3} \right) \omega_3 \left( \frac{w_0}{W_L^{1-x} W_U^x} \right) \omega_{01} \omega_{02} \omega_{03} \]  

subject to

\[ \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 = 1 \]

\[ \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 0 \]

\[ \omega_1 - \omega_2 + (1-x) \omega_1 = 0 \]

\[ - \omega_1 + 2\omega_2 + \omega_3 = 0 \]

\[ \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_{01} \geq 0. \]

From 13 we get \( \omega_1 = \frac{1}{4-x}, \omega_2 = \frac{x}{4-x}, \omega_3 = \frac{1}{4-x}, \) and \( \omega_{01} = \frac{2x+3}{4-x} \). Putting the values in 13 we get the optimal solution of dual problem. The values of \( D, S, q \) is obtained by using the primal dual relation as follows. From primal dual relation we get

\[ SD/q = \omega_1^* \times d^*(\omega) \]

\[ (a_L^{1-x} a_U^x)(H_L^{1-x} H_U^x)q^2 / 6D = \omega_2^* \times d^*(\omega) \]

\[ (\theta_L (1-x) \theta_U^x)D^{1-x}S^{(1-x)} = \omega_3^* \times d^*(\omega) \]

\[ (w_0q)/((W_L^{1-x} W_U^x)) = 1. \]

The optimal solution of the model through the parametric approach is given by

\[ d^*(\omega) = (4-x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{a_L (1-x) a_U^x (H_L^{1-x} H_U^x)(4-x)}{(2-x)^6} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\theta_L (1-x) \theta_U^x (4-x)}{6D} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{w_0(4-x)}{(W_L^{1-x} W_U^x)(2x-3)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{2x+3}{4-x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

and

\[ S^* = \frac{6\omega_1^* \omega_2^* d^*(\omega)^2}{(a_L^{1-x} a_U^x)(H_L^{1-x} H_U^x)} \]

\[ D^* = \frac{(a_L^{1-x} a_U^x)(H_L^{1-x} H_U^x)q^2}{6\omega_2^* d^*(\omega)} \]

\[ q^* = \frac{(W_L^{1-x} W_U^x)}{w_0} \]

5 Numerical example and solution

A manufacturing company produces a machine. It is given that the inventory carrying cost of the machine is $15 per unit per year. The production cost of the machine varies inversely with the demand and set-up cost. From the past experience, the production cost of the machine is $20D^{-3}S^{-1}$ where D is the demand rate and S is set-up cost. Storage space area per unit time \((w_0)\) and total storage space area \((W)\) are 100 sq. ft. and 2000 sq. ft. respectively. Determine the demand rate \((D)\), set-up cost \((S)\), production quantity \((q)\), and optimum total average cost \((TAC)\) of the production system.

Then the input value of the model 6 is

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>θ</th>
<th>w_0</th>
<th>W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Then the model is of the form

$$\text{Min } TAC(D,S,q) = \frac{SD}{q} + \frac{105q^2}{6D} + 120D^{-0.75}S^{-1}$$

subject to $100q \leq 2000, D, S, q > 0.$  \hfill (14)

**Table 2: Optimal solution of 6 for crisp model.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crisp model</th>
<th>$S^*$</th>
<th>$D^*$</th>
<th>$q^*$</th>
<th>TAC*($S^<em>, D^</em>, q^*$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G.P</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>4048</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>140.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.L.P</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>4047</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>140.685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the input data of inventory model is taken as triangular fuzzy number i.e.

$$a = [6,8], \Rightarrow a = (6)(1-s)(8)^s \in [6,8]$$
$$H = [14,16], \Rightarrow H = (14)(1-s)(16)^t \in [14,16]$$
$$\theta = [118,122], \Rightarrow \theta = (118)(1-s)(122)^t \in [118,122]$$
$$W = [1900,2100], \Rightarrow W = (1900)(1-s)(2100)^t \in [1900,2100], \text{ where } s \in [0,1].$$

**Table 3: Optimal Solution of Fuzzy Inventory Model.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>$S^*$</th>
<th>$D^*$</th>
<th>$q^*$</th>
<th>TAC*($S^<em>, D^</em>, q^*$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>2983.86</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>119.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>3175.16</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td>123.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>3378.71</td>
<td>20.58</td>
<td>126.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>3595.32</td>
<td>20.38</td>
<td>129.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>3825.81</td>
<td>20.18</td>
<td>133.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>4071.08</td>
<td>19.97</td>
<td>137.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>4332.07</td>
<td>19.78</td>
<td>141.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>4609.80</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>145.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>4905.33</td>
<td>19.38</td>
<td>149.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>5219.81</td>
<td>19.19</td>
<td>154.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>5554.45</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>158.767</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here we have given a rough graph, which shown how change the value of $TAC^*$($S^*, D^*, q^*$) for different values of $s$.

### 6 Sensitivity analysis

Effect, for increment of parameter $s$.

1. For increasing value of "s", set-up $S^*$ cost decreasing.
2. For increasing value of "s", demand $D^*$ rate increasing.
3. For increasing value of "s", production quantity $q^*$ decreasing.
4. For increasing value of "s", Total average cost $TAC^*$($S^*, D^*, q^*$) increasing.

### 7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a real life inventory problem in a fuzzy environment and presented solution along with sensitivity analysis approach. The inventory model developed with unit production cost, time depended holding cost, without shortages. This
model has been developed for single item.

In this paper, we first create a crisp model then it transformed to fuzzy model and solved by parametric Geometric-Programming technique. Here decision maker may obtain the optimal results according to his expectation. In fuzzy we have considered triangular fuzzy number (T.F.N) In future, the other type of membership functions such as piecewise linear hyperbolic, L-R fuzzy number, Trapezoidal Fuzzy Number (TrFN), Parabolic flat Fuzzy Number (PfFN), Parabolic Fuzzy Number (pFN), pentagonal fuzzy number etc can be considered to construct the membership function and then model can be easily solved.
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