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#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new concept of a $d$-bimorphism on a vector lattice and prove that, for vector lattices $A$ and $B$, the Arens triadjoint $T^{* * *}:\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ of a $d$-bimorphism $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is a $d$-bimorphism. This generalizes the concept of $d$-algebra and some results on the order bidual of $d$-algebras.
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## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

The Arens multiplications introduced in [2] on the bidual of various lattice ordered (or Riesz) algebras have been well documented (see, e.g., [3]). The more general question about Arens triadjoints of bilinear maps on products of vector lattices has recently aroused considerable interest (see, e.g., [7]). In Theorem 2.1 in [7] several properties of the Arens triadjoint maps are collected. For example, the adjoint of a bilinear map of order bounded variation is of order bounded variation and the triadjoint of such a map is separately order continuous. In this direction, as the extensions of the notions of classes of $f$-algebras [4] (a lattice ordered algebra $A$ with the property that $a \wedge b=0$ implies $a c \wedge b=c a \wedge b=0$ for all $c \in A^{+}$) and almost $f$-algebras [5] (a lattice ordered algebra $A$ for which $a \wedge b=0$ in $A$ implies $a b=0$ ), we studied the Arens triadjoints of some classes of bilinear maps on vector lattices (or Riesz spaces); mainly, bi-orthomorphisms and orthosymmetric bilinear maps (see [16]):

Definition 1. Let $A$ and $B$ be vector lattices. A bilinear map $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is said to be
(1) orthosymmetric if $x \wedge y=0$ implies $T(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in A$ (first appeared in a paper by G. Buskes and A. van Rooij in [10] in 2000).
(2) a bi-orthomorphism if it is a separately order bounded bilinear map such that $x \wedge y=0$ in $A$ implies $T(z, x) \wedge y=0$ for all $z \in A^{+}$, when $A=B$ (first appears a paper by G. Buskes, R. Page Jr and R. Yilmaz in [11] in 2009).

The class of orthosymmetric bilinear maps was introduced in [10] by G. Buskes and A. van Rooij. Subsequent developments have been made as a result of contributions by the same authors [9], G. Buskes and A. G. Kusraev [8], and M. A. Toumi [14]. In [14] it is proved that if $A, B$ are vector lattices, $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime},\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ are their respective order continuous biduals and $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is a positive orthosymmetric bilinear map, then the triadjoint $T^{* * *}:\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ of $T$ is a positive orthosymmetric bilinear map by the technique used in [3]. In [16] we extended this result to the whole $A^{\prime \prime} \times A^{\prime \prime}$; that is, if $A$ and $B$ are Archimedean vector lattices, $A^{\prime \prime}$ and $B^{\prime \prime}$ are the order biduals of $A$ and $B$ respectively, then $T^{* * *}: A^{\prime \prime} \times A^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime \prime}$ is a positive orthosymmetric bilinear map whenever $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is so. Moreover we obtained similar results for the class of the Arens triadjoint of bi-orthomorphisms when $A=B$. So, we proved that all the results on the order biduals of $f$-algebras and almost $f$-algebras in the paper [3] could be reformulated and obtained the following results:

[^0]Theorem 1.Let A and B Archimedean vector lattices. Then the following are satisfied.
(i) The Arens triadjoint $T^{* * *}: A^{\prime \prime} \times A^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime \prime}$ of a positive orthosymmetric bilinear map $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is positive orthosymmetric.
(ii) The Arens triadjoint $T^{* * *}: A^{\prime \prime} \times A^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime \prime}$ of a bi-orthomorphism $T: A \times A \rightarrow A$ is a bi-orthomorphism.
R. Yilmaz and K. Rowlands in [15] in 2006 were the first to study bi-orthomorphisms what they called quasi-orthomorphisms. The notion of bi-orthomorphism, as given here, first appears in a paper by G. Buskes, R. Page Jr and R. Yilmaz in [11] in 2009, where it is proved that, under the certain conditions, the space of bi-orthomorphisms forms an $f$-algebra and we ask the question when exactly it is in general an $f$-algebra. Very recently K. Boulabiar and W . Brahmi in [6] have given a complete answer to this question, proving that the non-trivial space of bi-orthomorphisms is equipped with a structure of $f$-algebra.

In this paper, for the sake of completion of our paper [16], we introduce a new concept of a $d$-bimorphism and prove that if $A, B$ are vector lattices and a bilinear map $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is a $d$-bimorphism, then so is the bilinear map $T^{* * *}:\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$. This also extends the notion of a d-algebra [12] (a lattice ordered algebra $A$ such that $a \wedge b=0$ in $A$ implies $a c \wedge b c=c a \wedge c b=0$ for all $c \in A^{+}$) and generalizes results on the continuous order bidual of $d$-algebras given in [3].

From here on, let $A, B$, and $C$ be Archimedean vector lattices and $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ be their respective duals. A bilinear map $T: A \times B \rightarrow C$ can be extended in a natural way to the bilinear map $T^{* * *}: A^{\prime \prime} \times B^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime}$ constructed in the following stages:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T^{*}: C^{\prime} \times A \rightarrow B^{\prime}, & T^{*}(f, x)(y)=f(T(x, y)) \\
T^{* *}: B^{\prime \prime} \times C^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}, & T^{* *}(G, f)(x)=G\left(T^{*}(f, x)\right) \\
T^{* * *}: A^{\prime \prime} \times B^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime}, & T^{* * *}(F, G)(f)=F\left(T^{* *}(G, f)\right)
\end{array}
$$

for all $x \in A, y \in B, f \in C^{\prime}, F \in A^{\prime \prime}, G \in B^{\prime \prime}$ (so-called the first Arens adjoint of $T$ ). Another extension of a bilinear map $T: A \times B \rightarrow C$ is the map ${ }^{* * *} T: A^{\prime \prime} \times B^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime}$ constructed in the following stages:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{ }^{*} T: B \times C^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}, & { }^{*} T(y, f)(x)=f(T(x, y)) \\
{ }^{* *} T: C^{\prime} \times A^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}, & { }^{* *} T(f, F)(y)=F\left({ }^{*} T(y, f)\right) \\
{ }^{* * *} T: A^{\prime \prime} \times B^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime}, & { }^{* *} T(F, G)(f)=G\left({ }^{* *} T(f, F)\right)
\end{array}
$$

for all $x \in A, y \in B, f \in C^{\prime}, F \in A^{\prime \prime}, G \in B^{\prime \prime}$ (so-called the second Arens adjoint of $T$ ) [2].

In this work we shall concentrate on the first Arens adjoint; that is, we prove that the triadjoint $T^{* * *}:\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ is a $d$-bimorphism whenever $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is so. Similar results hold for the second. For the elementary theory of vector lattices and terminology not explained here we refer to [1, 13, 17].

## 2 The Arens triadjoint of a $d$-bimorphism

In this section we define the notion of a $d$-bimorphism on a vector lattice and prove that the extension $T^{* * *}$ of a $d$ bimorphism $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is again a $d$-bimorphism. We first recall some relevant notions. The canonical mapping $a \mapsto \widehat{a}$ of a vector latice $A$ into its order bidual $A^{\prime \prime}$ is defined by $\widehat{a}(f)=f(a)$ for all $f \in A^{\prime}$. For each $a \in A, \widehat{a}$ defines an order continuous algebraic lattice homomorphism on $A^{\prime}$ and the canonical image $\widehat{A}$ of $A$ is a subalgebra of $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{c}^{\prime}$. Moreover the band

$$
I_{\widehat{A}}=\left\{F \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{c}^{\prime}:|F| \leq \widehat{x} \text { for some } x \in A^{+}\right\}
$$

generated by $\widehat{A}$ is order dense in $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{c}^{\prime}$; that is, for each $F \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{c}^{\prime}$, there exists an upwards directed net $\left\{G_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ in $I_{\widehat{A}}$ such that $0<G_{\lambda} \uparrow F$.

A bilinear operator $T: A \times B \rightarrow C$ is said to be order bounded if for all $(x, y) \in A^{+} \times B^{+}$we have

$$
\{T(a, b): 0 \leq a \leq y, 0 \leq b \leq y\}
$$

is order bounded. $T$ is positive if for all $x \in A^{+}$and $y \in B^{+}$we have $T(x, y) \in C^{+}$. Clearly every positive bilinear map is order bounded. Moreover if $T$ is positive, then so is $T^{*}$.

Definition 2. Let $A$ and $B$ be vector lattices. A bilinear map $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is said to be a $d$-bimorphism if $x \wedge y=0$ in $A$ implies $T(z, x) \wedge T(z, y)=0$ for all $z \in A^{+}$.

The following result is obvious from the definitions.

Theorem 2. Every bi-orthomorphism is boht orthosymmetric and a d-bimorphism.

We are in a position to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3. Let $A, B$ be vector lattices and $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ be a d-bimorphism. Then the bilinear map $T^{* * *}:\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ is a d-bimorphism.

Proof. Let $A, B$ be vector lattices and $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ be a $d$-bimorphism. We have to show that $T^{* * *}$ is a $d$-bimorphism. The proof of this is in two steps, as follows.

Step 1. We first show that if $x \in A^{+}$and $0 \leq F, G, H \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ satisfy $F, G, H \leq \widehat{x}$ and $G \wedge H=0$, then

$$
T^{* * *}(F, H) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, G)=0
$$

which is the main step of the proof.

Let $0 \leq f \in B^{\prime}$ and $x \in A^{+}$. Then $0 \leq T^{*}(f, x) \in A^{\prime}$, and so, by Corollary 1.2 of [3], there exist $g, h \in A^{\prime}$ with $g \wedge h=0$, and $G(g)=0=H(h)$ such that

$$
T^{*}(f, x)=g+h
$$

By the Riesz-Kontorovič Theorem ([1, Theorem 1.13]),

$$
\inf \left\{g(y)+h(z): x=y+z, y, z \in A^{+}\right\}=(g \wedge h)(x)=0
$$

which implies that, for $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $y, z \in A^{+}$such that

$$
x=y+z, \quad g(y)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad h(z)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

We now define the linear functionals $G_{1}$ and $H_{1}$ on $A^{\prime}$ by

$$
G_{1}=G \wedge(\widehat{y-y \wedge} z) \quad \text { and } \quad H_{1}=H \wedge(\widehat{z-y \wedge} z)
$$

Clearly, $0 \leq G_{1}, H_{1} \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{c}^{\prime}$ and the following inequalities hold.

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leq H-H_{1} & =(H-(z-y \wedge z))^{+} \leq(\widehat{x}-(\widehat{z-y \wedge} z))^{+} \\
& =\left(y+z \widehat{-(z-y \wedge z))^{+}}=(\widehat{y+y \wedge z})^{+} \leq 2 \widehat{y}\right. \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq G-G_{1} \leq 2 \widehat{z} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T^{* * *}$ is a $d$-bimorphism (and so positive) and $T^{* * *}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b})=\widehat{T(a, b)}$ for all $a, b \in A$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{1}\right) \wedge T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{1}\right) \\
& \leq T^{* * *}(\widehat{x}, y \widehat{y-y \wedge z}) \wedge T^{* * *}(\widehat{x}, z-\overline{-y \wedge} z)=0 ; \\
& \text { i.e., } T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{1}\right) \wedge T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{1}\right)=0 . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

We next consider the elements

$$
0 \leq T^{* * *}\left(F, G-G_{1}\right), T^{* * *}\left(F, H-H_{1}\right)
$$

of $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$. Then, by the positivity of $T^{* * *}$ and (1),

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{* * *}\left(F, H-H_{1}\right)(f) & \leq T^{* * *}\left(\widehat{x}, H-H_{1}\right)(f)=\widehat{x}\left(T^{* *}\left(H-H_{1}, f\right)\right) \\
& =T^{* *}\left(H-H_{1}, f\right)(x)=\left(H-H_{1}\right)\left(T^{*}(f, x)\right) \\
& =\left(H-H_{1}\right)(g+h)=\left(H-H_{1}\right)(g)+\left(H-H_{1}\right)(h) \\
& \leq\left(H-H_{1}\right)(g)+(H)(h) \leq 2 \widehat{y}(g)+0=2 g(y) . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, by (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{* * *}\left(F, G-G_{1}\right)(f) \leq 2 h(z) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using the fact that $(a+b) \wedge c \leq a \wedge c+b \wedge c$ in $\ell$-spaces and (3), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{* * *}(F, G) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, H) & =T^{* * *}\left(F, G-G_{1}+G_{1}\right) \wedge T^{* * *}\left(F, H-H_{1}+H_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, G-G_{1}\right)+T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{1}\right)\right) \wedge\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, H-H_{1}\right)+T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, G-G_{1}\right) \wedge\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, H-H_{1}\right)+T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{1}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{1}\right) \wedge\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, H-H_{1}\right)+T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{1}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq T^{* * *}\left(F, G-G_{1}\right)+\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{1}\right) \wedge\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, H-H_{1}\right)+T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{1}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq T^{* * *}\left(F, G-G_{1}\right)+\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{1}\right) \wedge T^{* * *}\left(F, H-H_{1}\right)\right)+\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{1}\right) \wedge T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\leq T^{* * *}\left(F, G-G_{1}\right)+T^{* * *}\left(F, H-H_{1}\right)\right)+0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by (4) and (5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq\left(T^{* * *}(F, G) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, H)\right)(f) & \leq\left(T^{* * *}\left(F, G-G_{1}\right)+T^{* * *}\left(F, H-H_{1}\right)\right)(f) \\
& \leq 2 g(y)+2 h(z) \\
& <2 \frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this holds for an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\left(T^{* * *}(F, G) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, H)\right)(f)=0
$$

for all $0 \leq f \in B^{\prime}$. It now follows that for all $f \in B^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T^{* * *}(F, G) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, H)\right)(f) & =\left(T^{* * *}(F, G) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, H)\right)\left(f^{+}\right)-\left(T^{* * *}(F, G) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, H)\right)\left(f^{-}\right) \\
& =0-0=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $T^{* * *}(F, G) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, H)=0$.

Step 2. So far, we have proved that the restriction map $\left.T^{* * *}\right|_{I_{\hat{A}} \times I_{\hat{A}}}$ is a $d$-bimorphism whenever $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is so. We now extend the result to the whole $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$. To do this, let $0 \leq F, G, H \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ such that $G \wedge H=0$. We have to show that $\left(T^{* * *}(F, G) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, H)\right)(f)=0$ for all $0 \leq f \in B^{\prime}$.

Since the band $I_{\widehat{A}}$ is order dense in $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$, there exist $G_{\alpha}, H_{\beta} \in I_{\widehat{A}}$ such that $0 \leq G_{\alpha} \uparrow G$ and $0 \leq H_{\beta} \uparrow H$ with $0 \leq G_{\alpha} \leq \widehat{x}_{\alpha}$ and $0 \leq H_{\beta} \leq \widehat{y}_{\beta}$ for some $x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta} \in A^{+}$. It follows from $G \wedge H=0$ that $G_{\alpha} \wedge H_{\beta}=0$ for all $\alpha, \beta$. Furthermore, $0 \leq G_{\alpha}, H_{\beta} \leq \widehat{x_{\alpha}+y_{\beta}}$. Hence, by above, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{\alpha}\right) \wedge T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{\beta}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Now let $0 \leq f \in B^{\prime}$. Since $0 \leq G_{\alpha} \uparrow G$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad 0 \leq G_{\alpha}\left(T^{* *}(f, x)\right) \uparrow G\left(T^{* *}(f, x)\right) \text {; } \\
\text { i.e., } 0 \leq T^{* * *}\left(G_{\alpha}, f\right)(x) \uparrow T^{* * *}(G, f)(x),
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $0 \leq x \in A$. Hence

$$
0 \leq T^{* *}\left(G_{\alpha}, f\right) \uparrow T^{* *}(G, f)
$$

and so, by the order continuity of $F$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq F\left(T^{* *}\left(G_{\alpha}, f\right)\right) \uparrow F\left(T^{* *}(G, f)\right) \\
\text { i.e., } 0 \leq T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{\alpha}\right)(f) \uparrow T^{* * *}(F, G)(f)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $0 \leq f \in B^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{\alpha}\right) \uparrow T^{* * *}(F, G) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, it follows from $0 \leq H_{\beta} \uparrow H$ that

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq H_{\beta}\left(T^{* *}(f, x)\right) \uparrow H\left(T^{* *}(f, x)\right) \text {; } \\
\text { i.e., } 0 \leq T^{* *}\left(H_{\beta}, f\right)(x) \uparrow T^{* *}(H, f)(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $0 \leq x \in A$. This shows that

$$
0 \leq T^{* *}\left(H_{\beta}, f\right) \uparrow T^{* *}(H, f)
$$

Hence, by the order continuity of $F$ again,

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq F\left(T^{* *}\left(H_{\beta}, f\right)\right) \uparrow F\left(T^{* *}(H, f)\right) \\
\text { i.e., } 0 \leq T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{\beta}\right)(f) \uparrow T^{* * *}(F, H)(f)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $0 \leq f \in B^{\prime}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{\beta}\right) \uparrow T^{* * *}(F, H) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it follows from (7) and (8) that

$$
0 \leq T^{* * *}\left(F, G_{\alpha}\right) \wedge T^{* * *}\left(F, H_{\beta}\right) \uparrow T^{* * *}(F, G) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, H),
$$

for all $\alpha$ and $\beta$. This leads that, by (6),

$$
T^{* * *}(F, G) \wedge T^{* * *}(F, H)=0
$$

as required.
As every Arens multiplication on the bidual of lattice ordered algebras defines the Arens triadjoint map and every commutative $d$-algebra is an almost $f$-algebra, we immediately obtain the following corollary [3, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2].

Corollary 1. (i) The order continuous bidual of a d-algebra is a Dedekind complete (and hence Archimedean) d-algebra. (ii) The order bidual of a commutative d-algebra is a Dedekind complete d-algebra.

Finally we point out that the triadjoints on the whole biduals is still an open problem. One has to obtain a way to handle the singular parts of biduals, as the cases of orthosymmetric bilinear maps and bi-orthomorphisms [16], in order to prove that the triadjoint $T^{* * *}: A^{\prime \prime} \times A^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime \prime}$ of a $d$-bimorphism $T: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is a $d$-bimorphism.
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