On the property between theta functions and Dedekind’s function according to the changes in the value periods
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Abstract: In the study, two relations between the Dedekind’s \( \eta \)-function and \( \theta \)-function were established by using characteristic values \( (\epsilon, \epsilon') \equiv (0, 0), (0, 1)(\mod 2) \) for \( \theta \)-function according to \( \frac{1}{3} \) coefficient of period pair \((u, \tau)\). The transformations among the theta functions according to the periods have been given and a Jacobian style elliptic functions has been set up the theta function by the help of a defined function.
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1 Introduction

It is of advantage to introduce function, defined by \( \theta(u, \tau) \), which has a rapidly convergent expansion in infinite series, and which is directly connected with the sigma function of Weierstrass. Let \( \tau \) be a complex variable, with \( \tau=\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \neq \text{real} \), \( \text{Im}\tau>0 \), and \( \omega= m \omega_1+n\omega_2 \) with \((m,n) \neq (0, 0), m,n \) are integers and \( u \) is a complex variable. We define the function \( \theta(u, \tau) \) by the series,

\[
\theta\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix}(u, \tau) = \sum_{n} \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{\epsilon}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + \frac{2 \pi i n (n + \frac{\epsilon}{2})}{2} (u + \frac{\epsilon'}{2}) \right\}
\]

(1)

where \((\epsilon, \epsilon') \) is \( \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\mod 2) \), \( \epsilon \) and \( \epsilon' \) are integers, and \( n \) ranges over all the integers \((-\infty \to \infty)\). The series in [1] converges absolutely, and uniformly in compact sets of the \( u \)-complex plane, and therefore represents an entire function of \( u[2] \). We can see the following alternative theta functions.

\[
\theta\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix}(u + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\tau}{2^n}, \tau) = \mu \theta\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \\ \epsilon' + \frac{1}{2^n} \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( \mu=\exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \pi i \tau + \frac{\tau}{2^n} (2u+\epsilon') \pi i \right\} \) and \( \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\mod 2) \), and \( \epsilon' \) are integers in [2].

\[
\theta\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix}(u, \tau) = \sum_{n} \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{\epsilon}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + \frac{2 \pi i n (n + \frac{\epsilon}{2})}{2} (u + \frac{\epsilon'}{2}) \right\}
\]
Using the equations we can get

\[
\theta \left[ \frac{e}{e'} \right] (u, \tau) = \sum_{n} \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{e}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + 2i(n + \frac{e}{2})(u - \frac{e'}{2}) \right\}
\]

where \( [e/e'] \equiv [1, 0, 1, 0] \mod 2 \), \( e \) and \( e' \) are integers \( n \) ranges over all the integers \((-\infty \text{ to } \infty)\) in [2].

\[
\theta \left[ \frac{e}{e'} \right] (u, \tau) = \sum_{n} \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{e}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + 2i\frac{n}{2}(u + \frac{1}{2}) \right\}
\]

where \( [e/e'] \equiv [1, 0, 1, 0] \mod 2 \), \( e \) and \( e' \) are integers \( n \) ranges over all the integers \((-\infty \text{ to } \infty)\) in [4]. If

\[
[e/e'] \equiv [1, 0] \mod 2 \text{ then } \theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] (u, \tau) = -i \sum_{n} (-1)^n \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + (2n + 1) \pi i u \right\}
\]

This function \( \theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] (u, \tau) \) is an alternative formula in [4].

If \( [e/e'] \equiv [0, 0] \mod 2 \) then \( \theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] (u, \tau) = \sum_{n} \exp(n^2 \pi i \tau) \) The function \( \theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] (0, \tau) \) is an alternative formula in [4].

**Definition 1.** A period, denoted \( \left\{ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right\} \), is \( b + a \tau \).

If \( r = 3 \) then \( \frac{1}{8} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right\} = \frac{b}{8} + \frac{a}{8} \).

A reduced quarter-period is a quarter-period in which \( a, b \) equal 0 or 1 [4]. With the help of this alternative formula in [2].

Above, we can get the following equalities according to quarter-periods. If \( [e/e'] \equiv [1, 0] \mod 2 \) then

\[
\theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] (u + \frac{1}{8}, \tau) = \sum_{n} \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + 2i\frac{n}{2}(u + \frac{1}{2}) \right\}
\]

\[
= ie^{-\frac{\pi i \tau}{8}} \sum_{n} (-1)^n \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + (2n + 1) \pi i u + \frac{n \pi i}{2} + \frac{n \pi i \tau}{2} + \frac{\pi i \tau}{4} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right\}
\]

If \( [e/e'] \equiv [0, 0] \mod 2 \) then

\[
\theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] (u + \frac{1}{8}, \tau) = \sum_{n} \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + 2i\frac{n}{2}(u + \frac{1}{2}) \right\}
\]

\[
= e^{-\frac{\pi i \tau}{8}} \sum_{n} \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + (2n + 1) \pi i u + \frac{n \pi i}{2} + \frac{n \pi i \tau}{2} + \frac{\pi i \tau}{4} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right\}
\]

Using the equations we can get

\[
\theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] (u + \frac{1}{8}, \tau) = \frac{ie^{-\frac{\pi i \tau}{8}} \sum_{n} (-1)^n \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + (2n + 1) \pi i u + \frac{n \pi i}{2} + \frac{n \pi i \tau}{2} + \frac{\pi i \tau}{8} + \frac{\pi i}{8} \right\}}{e^{-\frac{\pi i \tau}{8}} \sum_{n} \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + (2n + 1) \pi i u + \frac{n \pi i}{2} + \frac{n \pi i \tau}{2} + \frac{\pi i \tau}{8} + \frac{\pi i}{8} \right\}}
\]
Theorem 1. The function \( \theta \left[ \frac{1}{1} \right] (u, \tau) \) defined in [3] is odd function of \( u \) and it can be expressed by infinite product

\[
\theta \left[ \frac{1}{1} \right] (u, \tau) = ce^{2\pi i u} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{2\pi i (u+n\tau)} \right\} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{2\pi i (u-n\tau)} \right\}
\]

where \( c = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{2\pi i} \right) \), \( \Im \tau > 0 \) [2]. We consider the function \( \phi(u, \tau) \) expressed by product

\[
\phi(u, \tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{i(2n-1)\tau + 2n\pi i} \right\} \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{i(2n-1)\tau - 2n\pi i} \right\}.
\]
Theorem 2. The function \( \frac{\theta \left( \frac{1}{1} (u, \tau) \right)}{\varphi(u, \tau)} \) is an elliptic function with periods 1 and \( \tau \).

Proof. Let \( \psi(u, \tau) = \frac{\theta \left( \frac{1}{1} (u, \tau) \right)}{\varphi(u, \tau)} \), then we write

\[
\psi(u + 1, \tau) = \frac{\theta \left( \frac{1}{1} (u + 1, \tau) \right)}{\varphi(u + 1, \tau)} = \frac{-\theta \left( \frac{1}{1} (u, \tau) \right)}{\varphi(u, \tau)} = \frac{\theta \left( \frac{1}{1} (-u, \tau) \right)}{\varphi(u, \tau)}
\]

where \( \theta \left( \frac{1}{1} \right) (u, \tau) = -\theta \left( \frac{1}{1} \right) (-u, \tau) \) from theorem 2.

\[
\psi(u + \tau, \tau) = \frac{\theta \left( \frac{1}{1} \right) (u + \tau, \tau)}{\varphi(u + \tau, \tau)} = \frac{-e^{-2(u+\tau)\pi i} \theta \left( \frac{1}{1} \right) (u, \tau)}{e^{-2(u+\tau)\pi i} \varphi(u, \tau)} = \frac{\theta \left( \frac{1}{1} \right) (u, \tau)}{\varphi(u, \tau)}
\]

since

\[
\phi(u + \tau, \tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{(2n-1)\pi i + 2\pi i (u+\tau)} \right\} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{(2n-3)\pi i + 2\pi i(u+\tau)} \right\} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{(2n-1)\pi i - 2\pi i u} \right\} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{(2n-3)\pi i - 2\pi i u} \right\} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{(2m+1)\pi i + 2\pi i u} \right\} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{(2m+3)\pi i + 2\pi i u} \right\} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{(2m+1)\pi i} \right\} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - e^{(2m+3)\pi i} \right\} = \frac{e^{(-2u+\tau)\pi i} \phi(u, \tau)}{\phi(u + \tau, \tau)}
\]

The function \( \psi(u, \tau) \) is therefore a doubly periodic with periods 1 and \( \tau \) having neither zeros nor poles on account of the fact that \( \theta \left( \frac{1}{1} \right) (u, \tau) \) possesses the same periodicity factors as \( \phi(u, \tau) \). Hence the function \( \psi(u, \tau) \) is an elliptic function since the set of all meromorphic functions form a field and \( \psi(u, \tau) \) is meromorphic and periodic with periods 1 and \( \tau \). [2], [4].

We first define the Riemann’s \( \theta \)-function by the series

\[
\theta \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) (u, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ (n+a)^2 \pi i \tau + 2\pi i (n+a)(u+b) \right\}
\]

with a given complex number \( u \) and complex number \( \tau \) satisfying \( \text{Im}(\tau) = \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} \neq \text{real} > 0 \) and characteristic \( \left[ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right] \) where \( a, b \) are rational numbers. Let us recall the transformation \( n^2 + n = n(n+1) \) is congruent to zero module 2 \( \left[ \begin{array}{c} n(n+1) \\ 2 \end{array} \right] \) and \( n(n+1) \) from \( n+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \) if \( n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \) [4].
Theorem 3. We have the relations

\[ \theta \left[ \frac{e^i}{e'} \right] (u, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi i \tau + 2 \pi i (n + \frac{1}{2}) (u + \frac{1}{2}) \right\} \]

where \( \frac{e}{e'} \equiv \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] \pmod{2} \) and \( e, e' \) are integers [6]. Thus, we have the following relations

\[ \theta \left[ \frac{0}{1} \right] (u, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \exp(n^2 \pi i \tau + 2n \pi i u). \]

Now, let us observe that

\[ \theta \left[ \frac{0}{0} \right] (u, \tau) = \sum_{n} \exp(n^2 \pi i \tau + 2n \pi i u). \]

Then we see

\[ \theta \left[ \frac{0}{0} \right] (u, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (\exp(n^2 \pi i \tau + 2n \pi i u). \]

that the function \( \theta \left[ \frac{0}{0} \right] (0, \tau) \) defined by the series in [1] is a alternative formula of \( \theta \left[ \frac{e}{e'} \right] (u, \tau) \). The formulas \( \theta \left[ \frac{0}{0} \right] (u, \tau) \) and \( \theta \left[ \frac{0}{1} \right] (u, \tau) \) were used in this article where \( u \neq 0 \). At first we see the infinite products

\[ \theta \left[ \frac{0}{0} \right] (u, \tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2n \pi i \tau}) \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{(2n-1) \pi i \tau + 2n \pi i u}) \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{(2n-1) \pi i \tau - 2n \pi i u}). \]

which it converges absolutely [2].

**Theorem 3.** We have the relations

(i) \( \eta(u) = e^{\frac{2\pi i u}{\tau}} \cdot \theta \left[ \frac{0}{0} \right] \left( \frac{u+\frac{1}{2}}{\tau} \right). \)

(ii) \( \theta \left[ \frac{0}{1} \right] \left( \frac{u+\frac{1}{2}}{\tau} \right) = e^{-\frac{2\pi i u}{\tau}} \eta(u) \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{(2n-1) \pi i \tau}) \) between the functions \( \theta \left[ \frac{0}{0} \right] (u, \tau) \), \( \theta \left[ \frac{0}{1} \right] (u, \tau) \) and Dedekind’s \( \eta \)-function which defined by the infinite product

\[ \eta(u) = e^{\frac{2\pi i u}{\tau}} \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2n \pi i u}) \]

where \( \text{Im} \tau > 0 \) and \( k \) is a integer [6].

**Proof.**

(i) Let us recall the formula

\[ \theta \left[ \frac{0}{0} \right] (u, \tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2n \pi i \tau}) \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{(2n-1) \pi i \tau + 2n \pi i u}) \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{(2n-1) \pi i \tau - 2n \pi i u}). \]
If \( k \) integer, then we have

\[
\theta \left[ 0 \atop 0 \right] (3u + 2k, \tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{2\pi i u (3u + 2k)} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + e^{(2n - 1)\pi i u (3u + 2k) + 2\pi i \tau} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + e^{(2n - 1)\pi i u (3u + 2k) - 2\pi i \tau} \right)
\]

\[
= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{6\pi i u} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + e^{6\pi i u - 2\pi i u - (2k - 1)\pi i} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + e^{6\pi i u - 4\pi i u - (2k + 1)\pi i} \right)
\]

If we set \( G = e^{2\pi i u} \), then we obtain

\[
\theta \left[ 0 \atop 0 \right] (3u + 2k, \tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - G^{3n} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - G^{3n-1} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - G^{3n-2} \right).
\]

On the other hand, we may set \( n = m + 1 \), then

\[
\theta \left[ 0 \atop 0 \right] (3u + 2k, \tau) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - G^{3m+3} \right) \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - G^{3m+2} \right) \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - G^{3m+1} \right)
\]

\[
= (1 - G)(1 - G^2)(1 - G^3)(1 - G^4) = \cdots
\]

\[
= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - G^m \right) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{2m\pi i u} \right)
\]

According to above equations, we have

\[
\eta(u) = e^{\frac{\pi u}{2}} \cdot \theta \left[ 0 \atop 0 \right] (3u + 2k, \tau)
\]

from the Dedekind’s \( \eta \)-function defined by the infinite product

\[
\eta(u) = e^{\frac{\pi u}{2}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{2n\pi i u} \right)
\]

(ii) According to the equation,

\[
\theta \left[ 0 \atop 1 \right] (u, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \exp(n^2 \pi i \tau + 2n \pi i u)
\]

we have

\[
\theta \left[ 0 \atop 1 \right] \left( \frac{3u}{2}, \tau \right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2}n(3n+1)\pi i u \right]
\]

\[
= 1 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left\{ \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2}n(3n-1)\pi i u \right] + \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2}n(3n+1)\pi i u \right] \right\}
\]

Where \( \frac{1}{2}n(3n+1) \) are the pentagonal numbers and \( n \) is negative integers [4].

This results play a role of key stone in the forthcoming work concerning relation between the \( \theta \)-theta function and Dedekind’s \( \eta \)-function. In fact, if the application of theorem.3(ii)on the relation obtained with the theorem.3.(i) which known as the equation between Dedekind’s \( \eta \)-function and L.Euler’s theorem on pentagonal numbers is
done, we obtain

\[
\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (\frac{\pi i}{4} + \tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - e^{(2n-1)\pi i u})}{(1 - e^{(2n-1)\pi i u})} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{n\pi i u}}{1 - e^{(2n-1)\pi i u}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{2n\pi i u}}{1 - e^{(2n-1)\pi i u}} = e^{\frac{\pi i u}{12}} \eta(u).
\]

As a result, the relation has been obtained between theta and Dedkind’s \( \eta(u) \) functions by using the characteristic \( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \) and the variable \( \frac{\pi i}{4} \) instead of the characteristic \( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \) and the variable \( \frac{\pi i}{4} \) which were previously used by [4].

2 Conclusion

A new correlation was established between theta and Dedekind eta functions using 1/8 times of periods and an appropriate value of the variable.
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