



## The rate of $\chi$ -space defined by a modulus

N. Subramanian<sup>1</sup>, P. Thirunavukkarasu<sup>2</sup>, R. Babu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, SASTRA University, Thanjavur-613 401, India

<sup>2</sup>P.G. and Research Department of Mathematics, Periyar E.V.R. College(Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli-620 023, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanmugha Polytechnic College, Thanjavur-613 401, India

E- mail: nsmaths@yahoo.com<sup>1</sup>, ptavinash1967@gmail.com<sup>2</sup>, babunagar1968@gmail.com<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract:** In this paper we introduce the modulus function of  $\chi_\pi$ . We establish some inclusion relations, topological results and we characterize the duals of the  $\chi_f^\pi$  sequence spaces.

### 1. Introduction

A complex sequence, whose  $k$ th term is  $x_k$  is denoted by  $\{x_k\}$  or simply  $x$ . Let  $w$  be the set of all sequences  $x = (x_k)$  and  $\varphi$  be the set of all finite sequences. Let  $l_\infty, c, c_0$  be the sequence spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences  $x = (x_k)$  respectively. In respect of  $l_\infty, c, c_0$  we have  $\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|$ , where  $x = (x_k) \in c_0 \subset c \subset l_\infty$ . A sequence  $x = \{x_k\}$  is said to be analytic if  $\sup_k |x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} < \infty$ . The vector space of all analytic sequences will be denoted by  $\Lambda$ . A sequence  $x$  is called entire sequence if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} = 0$ . The vector space of all entire sequences will be denoted by  $\Gamma$ .  $\chi$  was discussed in Kamthan [5]. Matrix transformation involving  $\chi$  were characterized by Sridhar [14] and Sirajiudeen [13]. Let  $\chi_f^\pi$  be the set of all those sequences  $x = (x_k)$  such that  $\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\chi_f^\pi$  is a metric space with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \sup_k \left\{ \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k - y_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} ; k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$$

Orlicz [11] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the space  $(L^M)$ . Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [7] investigated Orlicz sequence spaces in more detail, and they proved that every Orlicz sequence space  $l_M$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $l_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). Subsequently the different classes of sequence spaces were defined by Parashar and Choudhary [4], Mursaleen et al. [9], Bektas and Altin [1], Tripathy et al. [15], Rao and Subramanian [3] and many others.

The Orlicz sequence spaces is the special case of Orlicz space, studied in Ref [6].

Recall [6, 11] an Orlicz function is a function  $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with  $M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0$ , for  $x > 0$  and  $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . If the convexity of Orlicz function  $M$  is replaced by  $M(x + y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$  then this function is called modulus function, introduced by Nakano [10] and further discussed by Ruckle [12] and Maddox [8] and many others.

An Orlicz function  $M$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of  $u$ , if there exists a constant  $k > 0$ , such that  $M(2u) \leq kM(u)$  ( $u \geq 0$ ). The  $\Delta_2$ -condition is equivalent to  $M(lu) \leq klM(u)$ , for all values of  $u$  and for  $l > 1$  Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [7] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct Orlicz sequence space

$$l_M = \left\{ x \in w: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right) < \infty \text{ for some } \pi_k > 0 \right\} \quad (1)$$

The space  $l_M$  with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \pi_k > 0: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right) \leq 1 \right\} \quad (2)$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For  $M(t) = t^p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ , the space  $l_M$  coincide with the classical sequence space  $l_p$ . Given a sequence  $x = \{x_k\}$  its  $n$ th section is the sequence  $x^{(n)} = \{x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots\}$ ,  $\delta^n = (0, 0, \dots, \frac{\pi_k}{k!}, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $\pi_k$  in the  $n$ th place and zero's elsewhere and  $S^n = (0, 0, \dots, \frac{\pi_k}{k!}, \frac{-\pi_k}{k!}, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $\frac{\pi_k}{k!}$  in the  $n$ th place,  $\frac{-\pi_k}{k!}$  in the  $(n+1)$ st place and zero's elsewhere. An FK-space (Frechet Coordinate Space) is a Frechet Space which is made up of numerical sequences and has the property that the coordinate functionals  $P_k(x) = x_k (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  are continuous. We recall the following definitions (see [16]).

An FK-space is a locally convex Frechet space which is made up of sequences and has the property that coordinate projections are continuous. A metric space  $(x, d)$  is said to have AK (or sectional convergence) if and only if  $d(x^{(n)}, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (see [16]). The space is said to have AD (or) be an AD space if  $\varphi$  is dense in  $X$ . We note that AK implies AD by [2].

If  $X$  is a sequence space, we define

1.  $X'$  = the continuous dual of  $X$ ;
2.  $X^\alpha = \{a = (a_k): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X\}$ ;
3.  $X^\beta = \{a = (a_k): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k \text{ is convergent for each } x \in X\}$ ;
4.  $X^\gamma = \left\{ a = (a_k): \sup_n \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_k \right| < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X \right\}$ ;
5. Let  $\varphi$  be an FK-space  $\supset \varphi$ . Then  $X^f = \{f(\delta^{(n)}): f \in X'\}$ .

$X^\alpha, X^\beta, X^\gamma$  are called the  $\alpha$ -(or Köthe Töeplitz) dual of  $X, \beta$ -(or generalized Köthe Töeplitz) dual of  $X, \gamma$ -dual of  $X$ . Note that  $X^\alpha \subset X^\beta \subset X^\gamma$ . If  $X \subset Y$  then  $Y^\mu \subset X^\mu$ , for  $\mu = \alpha, \beta$  or  $\gamma$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** (See[16, Theorem 7.27]). Let  $X$  be an FK space  $\supset \varphi$ . Then (i)  $X^\gamma \subset X^f$ . (ii) If  $X$  has AK,  $X^\beta = X^f$ . (iii) If  $X$  has A.D.,  $X^\beta = X^\gamma$ .

## 2. Definition and Preliminaries

Let  $w$  denote the set of all complex sequences  $x = (x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a modulus function.

Let

$$\chi_f^\pi = \left\{ x \in w: \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) = 0 \text{ for some } \pi_k > 0 \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_f^\pi = \left\{ x \in w: \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) = 0 \text{ for some } \pi_k > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_f^\pi = \left\{ x \in w: \sup_k \left( f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) < \infty \text{ for some } \pi_k > 0 \right\}$$

The space  $\chi_f^\pi$  is a metric space with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \pi_k > 0: \sup_k \left( f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k - y_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \quad (3)$$

The space  $\Gamma_f$  and  $\Lambda_f$  is a metric space with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \pi_k > 0 : \sup_k \left( f \left( \left| \frac{x_k - y_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \quad (4)$$

### 3. Main Result

#### Proposition 3.1.

$\chi_f^\pi \subset \Gamma_f^\pi$  with the hypothesis that  $f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \chi_f^\pi$ . Then we have the following implications

$$f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

But  $f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)$ ; by our assumption, implies that

$$\Rightarrow f \left( \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ by (5)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \Gamma_f^\pi$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi_f^\pi \subset \Gamma_f^\pi.$$

This completes the proof.

#### Proposition 3.2.

$\chi_f^\pi$  has AK where  $f$  is a modulus function.

**Proof.** Let  $x = \{x_k\} \in \chi_f^\pi$ , then  $\left\{ f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right\} \in \chi_f^\pi$  and hence

$$\sup_{k \geq n+1} f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (6)$$

$$d(x, x^{[n]}) = \sup_{k \geq n+1} f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ by using (6).}$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{[n]} \rightarrow x \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

implying that  $\chi_f^\pi$  has AK. This completes the proof.

#### Proposition 3.3.

$\chi_f^\pi$  is solid.

**Proof.** Let  $|x_k| \leq |y_k|$  and let  $y = (y_k) \in \chi_f^\pi$ .  $f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \leq f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{y_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)$ , because  $f$  is non-decreasing. But

$f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \in \chi$ , because  $y \in \chi_f^\pi$ . That is,  $f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{y_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore,  $x = \{x_k\} \in \chi_f^\pi$ . This completes the proof.

#### Proposition 3.4.

Let  $f$  be a modulus function which satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then  $\chi \subset \chi_f^\pi$ .

**Proof.** Let

$$x \in \chi \quad (7)$$

Then  $\left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \leq \epsilon$  sufficiently large  $k$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ . By taking  $\pi_k \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .  $f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \leq f \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\pi_k} \right) \leq f(2\epsilon)$

(because  $f$  is non-decreasing)

$$f\left(\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) \leq kf(\epsilon) \quad (8)$$

by  $\Delta_2$ -condition, for some  $k \geq 0 \leq \epsilon$ .  $f\left(\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  (by defining  $f(\epsilon) < \frac{\epsilon}{k}$ ). Hence  $x \in \chi_f^\pi$ . From (7) and since

$$x \in \chi_f^\pi, \quad (9)$$

we get  $\chi \subset \chi_f^\pi$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.5.**

If  $f$  is a modulus function, then  $\chi_f^\pi$  is linear space over the set of complex number  $C$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in \chi_f^\pi$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in C$ . In order to prove the result we need to find some  $\pi_k$  such that

$$f\left(\left(k! \left|\frac{\alpha x_k + \beta y_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (10)$$

Since,  $x, y \in \chi_f^\pi$  such that

$$f\left(\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (11)$$

Since  $f$  is a non-decreasing modulus function, we have

$$f\left(\left(k! \left|\frac{\alpha x_k + \beta y_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) \leq f\left(\left(k! \left|\frac{\alpha x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} + \left(k! \left|\frac{\beta y_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) \leq f\left(|\alpha| \left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} + |\beta| \left(k! \left|\frac{y_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)$$

Take  $\pi_k$  such that  $\frac{1}{\pi_k} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{|\alpha| \pi_1}, \frac{1}{|\beta| \pi_2}\right\}$ . Then

$$f\left(\left(k! \left|\frac{\alpha x_k + \beta y_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) \leq f\left(\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_1}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} + \left(k! \left|\frac{y_k}{\pi_2}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ by (11)}.$$

Hence  $f\left(k! \left|\frac{\alpha x_k + \beta y_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $(\alpha x + \beta y) \in \chi_f^\pi$ . Therefore,  $\chi_f^\pi$  is linear. This completes the proof.

**Definition 3.6.**

Let  $P = (P_k)$  be any sequence of positive real numbers. Then we define  $\chi_f^\pi(P) = \left\{x = (x_k): f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty\right\}$ . Suppose that  $P_k$  is a constant for all  $k$ , the  $\chi_f^\pi(P) = \chi_f^\pi$ .

**Proposition 3.7.**

Let  $0 \leq p_k \leq q_k$  and let  $\left\{\frac{q_k}{p_k}\right\}$  be bounded. Then  $\chi_f^\pi(q) = \chi_f^\pi t(p)$ .

**Proof.** Let

$$x \in \chi_f^\pi(q), \quad (12)$$

$$\left(f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{q_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (13)$$

Let  $t_k = \left(f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{q_k}$  and  $\lambda_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ .

Since  $p_k \leq q_k$ , we have  $0 \leq \lambda_k \leq 1$ .

Take  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_k$ . Define

$$u_k = \begin{cases} t_k, & (t_k \geq 1) \\ 0, & (t_k < 1) \end{cases} \text{ and } v_k = \begin{cases} 0, & (t_k \geq 1) \\ t_k, & (t_k < 1) \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

$t_k = u_k + v_k$ ;  $t_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$ . Now it follows that  $u_k^{\lambda_k} \leq u_k \leq t_k$  and  $v_k^{\lambda_k} \leq v_k \leq t_k$ . Since  $t_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$ , then  $t_k^{\lambda_k} \leq t_k + v_k^{\lambda_k}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left( f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{q_k} \right)^{\lambda_k} &\leq \left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{q_k} \\ \Rightarrow \left( f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{q_k} \right)^{p_k/q_k} &\leq \left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{q_k} \\ \Rightarrow \left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{p_k} &\leq \left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{q_k} \end{aligned}$$

But  $\left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{q_k} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by (13)

Therefore  $\left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$x \in \chi_f^\pi(p) \quad (15)$$

From (12) and (15) we get  $\chi_f^\pi(q) \subset \chi_f^\pi(p)$ .

Thus completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.8.**

(a) Let  $0 \leq \inf_{p_k} p_k \leq 1$ . Then  $\chi_f^\pi(p) \subset \chi_f^\pi$ .

(b) Let  $1 \leq \sup_{p_k} p_k < \infty$ . Then  $\chi_f^\pi \subset \chi_f^\pi(p)$ .

**Proof.**

(a) Let  $x \in \chi_f^\pi(p)$

$$\left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (16)$$

Since  $0 \leq \inf_{p_k} p_k \leq 1$ .

$$\left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \leq \left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{p_k} \quad (17)$$

From (16) and (17) it follows that  $x \in \chi_f^\pi$ . Thus  $\chi_f^\pi(p) \subset \chi_f^\pi$ . We have thus proven (a).

(b) Let  $p_k \geq 1$  for each  $k$  and  $\sup_{p_k} p_k < \infty$ .

Let  $x \in \chi_f^\pi$

$$\left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (18)$$

Since  $1 \leq \sup_{p_k} p_k < \infty$  we have

$$\left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{p_k} \leq \left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \quad (19)$$

$\left(f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0\right.$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by using (18). Therefore  $x \in \chi_f^\pi(p)$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.9.**

Let  $0 \leq p_k \leq q_k < \infty$  for each  $k$ . Then  $\chi_f^\pi(p) \subseteq \chi_f^\pi(q)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \chi_f^\pi(p)$ .

$$\left(f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (20)$$

This implies that  $\left(f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{p_k} \leq 1\right.$  for sufficiently large  $k$ .

Since  $f$  is non-decreasing, we get

$$\left(f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{q_k} \leq \left(f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{p_k} \quad (21)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(f\left(k! \left|\frac{x_k}{\pi_k}\right|^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)^{q_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ (by using (20))}$$

$$x \in \chi_f^\pi(q)$$

Hence,  $\chi_f^\pi(p) \subseteq \chi_f^\pi(q)$ .

This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.10.**

$\chi_f^\pi(p)$  is a  $r$ -convex for all  $r$  where  $0 \leq r \leq \inf_{p_k} p_k$ . Moreover if  $p_k = p \leq 1 \forall k$ , then they are  $p$ -convex.

**Proof.** We shall prove the proposition for  $\chi_f^\pi(p)$ . Let  $x \in \chi_f^\pi(p)$  and  $r \in (0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n)$ . Then, there exists  $k_0$  such that  $r \leq p_k, \forall k > k_0$ . Now, define

$$g^*(x) = \inf \left\{ \pi_k \cdot f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k - y_k}{\pi_k} \right|^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^r + f \left( \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k - y_k}{\pi_k} \right|^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^r \right) \right\}, \quad (22)$$

since,  $r \leq p_k \leq 1, \forall k > k_0$ .  $g^*$  is subadditive. Further, for  $0 \leq |\lambda| \leq 1; |\lambda|^{p_k} \leq |\lambda|^r, \forall k > k_0$ .

$$g^*(\lambda x) \leq |\lambda|^r g^*(x) \quad (23)$$

Now, for  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$U = \{x: g^*(x) \leq \delta\}, \text{ which is an absolutely } r\text{-convex set} \quad (24)$$

for

$$|\lambda|^r + |\mu|^r \leq 1; x, y \in U \quad (25)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} g^*(\lambda x + \mu y) &\leq g^*(\lambda x) + g^*(\mu y) \leq |\lambda|^r g^*(x) + |\mu|^r g^*(y) \leq |\lambda|^r \delta + |\mu|^r \delta \text{ using (23) and (24)} \\ &\leq (|\lambda|^r + |\mu|^r) \delta \leq 1 \cdot \delta, \text{ by using (25)} \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

If  $p_k = p \leq 1 \forall k$  then for  $0 < r < 1, U = \{x: g^*(x) \leq \delta\}$  is an absolutely  $p$ -convex set. This can be obtained by a similar analysis and therefore we omit the details. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.11.**

$$(\chi_f^\pi)^\beta = \Lambda_f^\pi$$

**Proof.**

**Step 1:**  $\chi_f^\pi \subset \Gamma_f^\pi$  by Proposition 3.1;

$\Rightarrow (\Gamma_f^\pi)^\beta \subset (\chi_f^\pi)^\beta$ . But  $(\Gamma_f^\pi)^\beta = \Lambda_f^\pi$  see (3).

$$\Lambda_f^\pi \subset (\chi_f^\pi)^\beta \quad (26)$$

**Step 2:** Let  $y \in (\chi_f^\pi)^\beta$  we have  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k y_k$  with  $x \in \chi_f^\pi$ . We recall that  $S^{(k)}$  has  $\frac{1}{k!}$  in the  $k$ th place and zero's elsewhere, with  $x = S^{(k)}$ ,  $\left( f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right|^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \right) = \left\{ 0, 0, \dots, f \left( \frac{(1)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\pi_k} \right), 0, \dots \right\}$  which converges to zero. Hence,  $S^{(k)} \in \chi_f^\pi$ . Hence,  $d(S^{(k)}, 0) = 1$ . But  $|y_k| \leq \|f\| d(S^{(k)}, 0) < \infty \forall k$ . Thus  $(y_k)$  is a bounded rate sequence and hence a rate analytic sequence.

In other words  $y \in \Lambda_f^\pi$ .

$$(\chi_f^\pi)^\beta \subset \Lambda_f^\pi \quad (27)$$

**Step 3:** From (25) and (26) we obtain  $(\chi_f^\pi)^\beta = \Lambda_f^\pi$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.12.**

$(\chi_f^\pi)^\mu = \Lambda$  for  $\mu = \alpha, \beta, \gamma, f$ .

**Proof.**

**Step 1:**  $\chi_f$  has AK by Proposition 3.2. Hence, by Lemma 1.1 (ii).

We get  $(\chi_f^\pi)^\beta = (\chi_f^\pi)^f$ . But  $(\chi_f^\pi)^\beta = \Lambda_f^\pi$ .

Hence

$$(\chi_f^\pi)^f = \Lambda_f^\pi \quad (28)$$

**Step 2:** Since  $AK \Rightarrow AD$ . Hence by Lemma 1.1.(iii).

We get  $(\chi_f^\pi)^\beta = (\chi_f^\pi)^\gamma$ . Therefore

$$(\chi_f^\pi)^\gamma = \Lambda_f^\pi \quad (29)$$

**Step 3:**  $\chi_f^\pi$  is normal by Proposition 3.3. Hence by Proposition ?? and (12), we get

$$(\chi_f^\pi)^\alpha = (\chi_f^\pi)^\gamma = \Lambda_f^\pi \quad (30)$$

From (28) and (30) we have  $(\chi_f^\pi)^\alpha = (\chi_f^\pi)^\beta = (\chi_f^\pi)^\gamma = (\chi_f^\pi)^f = \Lambda_f^\pi$ .

**Proposition 3.13.**

The dual space of  $\chi_f^\pi$  is  $\Lambda$ . In other words  $\chi_f^* = \Lambda$ .

**Proof.**

We recall that  $S^{(k)}$  has  $\frac{\pi_k}{k!}$  in the  $k$ th place and zero's elsewhere with

$$x = S^{(k)}, \quad f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right|^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) = \left\{ 0, 0, \dots, f \left( \frac{(1)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\pi_k} \right), 0, \dots \right\}$$

Hence,  $S^{(k)} \in \chi_f^\pi$ . We have  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k y_k$  with  $x \in \chi_f^\pi$  and  $f \in (\chi_f^\pi)^\alpha$  where  $\chi_f^\pi$  is the dual space of  $\chi_f^\pi$ . Take  $x = S^{(k)} \in \chi_f^\pi$ . Then

$$|y_k| \leq \|f\| d(S^{(k)}, 0) < \infty \text{ for all } k. \quad (31)$$

Thus  $(y_k)$  is a bounded rate sequence and hence a rate of analytic sequence. In other words,  $y \in \Lambda$ . Therefore  $\chi_f^* = \Lambda$ .

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.14 ([16, Theorem 8.6.1]).**

$Y \supset X \Leftrightarrow Y^f \subset X^f$  where  $X$  is an AD-space and  $Y$  on FK-space.

**Proposition 3.15.**

Let  $Y$  be any FK-space  $\supset \varphi$ . Then  $Y \supset \chi_f^\pi$  if and only if the sequence  $S^{(k)}$  is weakly analytic.

**Proof.** The following implications establish the result

$Y \supset \chi_f^\pi \Leftrightarrow Y^f \subset \chi_f^\pi$  since  $\chi_f$  has AD by Lemma 3.14

$\Leftrightarrow$  for each  $f \in Y'$ , the topological dual of  $Y$ .

$\Leftrightarrow f(S^{(k)})$  is rate of analytic.

$\Leftrightarrow S^{(k)}$  is weakly rate of analytic.

This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.16.**

$\chi_f^\pi$  is a complete metric space under the metric

$$d(x, y) = \sup_k \left\{ f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k - y_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$$

Where  $x = (x_k) \in \chi_f^\pi$  and  $y = (y_k) \in \chi_f^\pi$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{x^{(n)}\}$  be Cauchy sequence in  $\chi_f^\pi$ . Then given any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  depending on  $\epsilon$

such that  $d(x^{(n)}, x^{(m)}) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$  and for  $m \geq N$ . Hence,  $\sup_k \left\{ f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k^{(n)} - x_k^{(m)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right\} < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$  and for  $m \geq N$ .

Consequently  $f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k^{(n)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space  $\mathcal{C}$  of a complex numbers.

But  $\mathcal{C}$  is complete. So,

$$f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k^{(n)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \rightarrow f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\sup_k \left\{ f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k^{(n)} - x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right\} < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \leq n_0.$$

In particular, we have

$$f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k^{(n)} - x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} < \epsilon.$$

Now

$$f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k - x_k^{(n_0)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} + f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k^{(n_0)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} < \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus

$$f \left( k! \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} < \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

That is  $x \in \chi_f^\pi$ .

Therefore  $\chi_f^\pi$  is a complete metric space. This completes the proof.

**References**

- [1] Bektas and Altin, The sequence space  $l_m(p, g, s)$  on seminormed spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 34(4) (2003), 529-534.
- [2] H.I. Brown, The summability field of a perfect  $l-l$  method of summation, J. Anal. Math., 20(1967), 281-287.
- [3] K. Chandrasekhara Rao and N. Subramanian, Semi analytic spaces, Science Letters, 26 (2003), 146-149.
- [4] B. Choudhary and S.D. Parashar, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 25(4) (1994), 419-428.
- [5] P.K. Kamthan, Bases in a certain class of Frechet Space, Tamkang, J. Math., 1976, 41-49.
- [6] M.A. Krasnoselskii and Y.B. Rutickii, Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces, Gorningen, Netherlands, 1961.
- [7] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Jzafriiri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, Israel J. Math., 10 (1971), 379-390.
- [8] I.J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Math., Proc. Cambridge, Philos. Soc, 100(1) (1986), 161-166.
- [9] M. Mursaleen and O.H.H. Edely, Statistical convergence of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 288(1), (2003), 223-231.
- [10] Nakano, Concave modulus, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 5(1953), 29-49.
- [11] W. Orlicz, Über Raume ( $L^M$ ) Bull. Int. Acad. Polon. Sci. A, (1936), 93-107.
- [12] W.H. Ruckle, FK Spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vector is bounded, Canada, J. Math., 25 (1973), 973-978.
- [13] S.M. Sirajindeen, Matrix transformation of  $C_0(P)$ ,  $l_\infty(P)$ ,  $l^p$  and  $l$  into  $\chi$ , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 12(9) (1981), 1106-1113.
- [14] S. Sridhar, A matrix transformation between some sequence Spaces, Acta Ciencia Indica, 5(1979), 194-197.
- [15] B.C. Tripathy, M. Et and Y. Altin, Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function in a locally convex space, J. Analysis and Applications, 1(3) (2003), 175-192.



- [16] A. Wilansky, *Summability through functional analysis*, North Holland, *Mathematical Studies*, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, Vol. 85(1984).